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## Executive Summary

Founded in 1913, Fullerton College has a rich history steeped in academic excellence and community service. Since its founding, the Fullerton College community has seen two World Wars, the Great Depression, the GI Bill and the Vietnam War, intense social change and, in most recent decades, a dramatic change within the college's service community and student population. In its $103^{\text {nd }}$ year of operation Fullerton College continues to provide a high quality education at an affordable cost with a student-centered mission.

The 2015-2016 year continued the statewide fiscal improvement experienced over the previous two funding cycles and Fullerton College seized the opportunity to progress the college's Institutional Goals and Objectives, with a focus on improving student completion and reducing the achievement gap among student subpopulations. These goals and objectives support the North Orange County Community College District (NOCCCD) strategic directions while simultaneously dovetailing with State Chancellor's Office priority initiatives and reforms.

The 2015-2016 Institutional Effectiveness Report highlights Fullerton College's commitment to providing a high quality and affordable education to our ever-growing diverse population of students. Fullerton College has experienced great success in narrowing the achievement gap, increasing the preparedness of our students through high school partnerships and through our commitment to increase student success and program completions. With the inclusion of the 2016 Fact Book and 2016 Environmental Scan, this document is a central resource for college wide planning that will be used to guide Fullerton College over the next year.

## Introduction

The Fullerton College Institutional Effectiveness Report annually reviews college performance toward the achievement of its stated goals and objectives, in support of North Orange County Community College District strategic directions and California Community College System Office priorities. Annual review provides tracking and assessment of new initiatives implemented across the college and evaluation of college performance against accepted key indicators.

Chapter one presents Fullerton College student demographics and background characteristics. Trends in the characteristics of students enrolling at Fullerton College are exhibited and discussed.

Chapter two focuses on institutional effectiveness measures, including course success rates, Fullerton College Student Success Scorecard indicators, degree and certification completion, transfer, CTE outcomes, and student assessment results in Reading, Writing, and Mathematics, as college goals and objectives focus on student achievement and this lack of preparation has been identified as a primary barrier to student success.

Chapter three highlights data compiled by North Orange County Community College District Information Services. The data examines successful course completion rates of varying student populations and demographic groups, both from a district-wide and individual college (Fullerton College) level.

As accompanying pieces to the Fullerton College 2015-2016 Institutional Effectiveness report, the 2016 Fact Book and 2016 Environmental Scan can be found in the appendix of this report.

## Fullerton College's Integrated Planning Cycle

The Fullerton College Integrated Planning Model describes the components of the college planning process as well as the systems used to link components to one another in a cycle including the development of goals, objectives, resource allocation, plan implementation and evaluation. The Fullerton College Integrated Planning Model demonstrates a commitment to institutional effectiveness and continuous quality improvement.

## Fullerton College Integrated Planning Cycle



As part of Fullerton College's cycle of continuous quality improvement, the college annually reviews and assesses implemented strategies and its strategic planning process as a prelude to a new cycle of strategic planning. Strategies and programs are reviewed and decisions are made to maintain, modify or improve various programs, activities and initiatives.

## Fullerton College Institutional Philosophy

## Fullerton College Mission

We prepare students to be successful learners.

## Fullerton College Vision

Fullerton College will create a community that promotes inquiry and intellectual curiosity, personal growth and a life-long appreciation for the power of learning.

## Fullerton College Core Values

We respect and value the diversity of our entire community.

We value tradition and innovation.

We support the involvement of all in the decision-making process.

We expect everyone to continue growing and learning.

We believe in the power of the individual and the strength of the group.
We expect everyone to display behavior in accordance with personal integrity and high ethical standards.

We accept our responsibility for the betterment of the world around us.

We value and promote the well being of our campus community.

## Fullerton College 2015-2017 Goals

Fullerton College establishes its goals, objectives, and strategic action plans in concert with the NOCCCD District-wide Strategic Directions. The following goals and objectives were approved and endorsed by the President's Advisory Council during the college's most recent planning period:

## Goal 1: Fullerton College will promote student success.

Objective 1: Address the needs of under-prepared students.
Objective 2: Increase course retention and success.
Objective 3: Increase the number of degrees and certificates awarded.
Objective 4: Increase the number of transfers.
Objective 5: Increase the number of students participating in STEM activities.
Objective 6: Increase the persistence rate of students.

Goal 2: Fullerton College will reduce the achievement gap.
Objective 1: Address the needs of English language learners.
Objective 2: Increase retention rate of Hispanic and African-American students by at least 2\% annually.
Objective 3: Increase success rate of Hispanic and African-American students by at least 2\% annually.
Objective 4: Increase persistence rate of Hispanic and African-American students by at least $2 \%$ annually.
Objective 5: Increase the number of students from underrepresented groups participating in STEM activities.

## Goal 3: Fullerton College will strengthen connections with the community.

Objective 1: Strengthen our contacts with Alumni.
Objective 2: Strengthen partnerships with local feeder high schools.
Objective 3: Strengthen partnerships with local business and industry.
Objective 4: Increase funding capabilities of the college.
Objective 5: Increase engagement of the college with the community through college events, community service, and other partnerships.

Goal 1 Objective 5 and Goal 2 Objective 5 were additions to the 2013-2015 Goals approved by PAC to comprise the 2015-2017 Goals in response to increases in student demand and services in STEM areas.

## Chapter I: Student Demographics

The student demographic information presented in this section is not meant to be an exhaustive construction of the student profile. The characteristics discussed are intended to provide a broad overview of the general characteristics of Fullerton College students. Gender, age, ethnic distribution, Board of Governors fee waiver eligibility, and parent educational attainment are presented, as well as the top ten cities represented by our students and their top ten choices for majors.

A sensitivity to and understanding of the broad spectrum of student needs within each individual support service area is essential as the college strives for continuous improvement in student outcomes. A walk across campus or through the hallways provides a vivid demonstration that now, more than ever, each student represents his/her own unique mix of socio-economic, ethnic, and cultural background, life experience, and self-identity, with a correspondingly unique combination of needs, learning styles, potential, and challenges. It is only through becoming acquainted with the whole student that we can determine how best to support their achievement and promote his/her success.

## Fullerton College Student Gender, Fall Semester 2011 to 2015

| Gender | Fall 2011 | Fall 2012 | Fall 2013 | Fall 2014 | Fall 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Male | $49 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Unknown | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)
The student population at Fullerton College is slightly higher in the distribution of female students than male students, as shown in the figure above. With females representing a growing majority of higher education students nationwide at about $57 \%$ of all U.S. undergraduates in Fall 2015 (National Center for Education Statistics), it is notable that this trend is not as pronounced in the Fullerton College student population. The percentage of students who do not identify with either gender has largely remained constant.

# Fullerton College Student Race/Ethnicity, Fall Semester 2011 to 2015 

## STUDENT RACE/ETHNICITY DISTRIBUTION

Fall 2012
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(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)
Fullerton College is a Hispanic Serving Institution. The largest ethnic representation among Fullerton College students is students of Hispanic/Latino origin, with a $55 \%$ share of the population. This represents a $3 \%$ increase over the previous fall semester. White nonHispanic and Asian students represent the next largest proportions of the student population. In recognition of these population shifts, the college continues to focus on recruiting diverse faculty and staff and to expand the variety of support services it offers to students.

Fullerton College Student Race/Ethnicity, Fall Semester 2011 to 2015

| Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2011 |  | Fall 2012 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Fall 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Percent | Total | Percent | Total | Percent | Total | Percent | Total | Percent |
| Am. Indian or Alaskan | 171 | 0.9\% | 162 | 0.8\% | 199 | 0.8\% | 201 | 0.8\% | 179 | 0.7\% |
| Asian | 3,366 | 12.6\% | 3,487 | 16.6\% | 4,126 | 16.6\% | 4,172 | 16.33 | 4,215 | 16.7\% |
| African American | 785 | 3.9\% | 825 | 3.9\% | 1,032 | 4.2\% | 999 | 3.9\% | 931 | 3.7\% |
| Hispanic | 8,886 | 44.2\% | 10,126 | 48.4\% | 12,719 | 51.2\% | 13,588 | 53.2\% | 13,900 | 54.9\% |
| Pacific Islander | 93 | 0.4\% | 93 | 0.4\% | 102 | 0.4\% | 117 | 0.5\% | 124 | 0.4\% |
| White NonHispanic | 5,887 | 29.3\% | 5,653 | 27.0\% | 6,131 | 24.7\% | 5,996 | 23.5\% | 5,663 | 22.4\% |
| Other | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 25 | 0.1\% | 16 | 0.1\% |
| Unknown | 901 | 4.5\% | 588 | 2.8\% | 511 | 2.1\% | 456 | 1.8\% | 277 | 1.1\% |

(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)

## Fullerton College Student Age, Fall Semester 2011 to 2015


(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)

The majority of Fullerton College students are between the ages of 20 and 24. There has been a steady increase in the representation of students aged below 20, with a three-percentage point increase occurring between fall 2013 and fall 2015. This increase is likely the result of increased outreach and collaboration with local feeder high schools.

## Student Age Distribution, Fall Semester 2011 to 2015

| Age Group | Fall 2012 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Fall 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Percent | Total | Percent | Total | Percent | Total | Percent |
| Under 20 | 6,130 | 29.3\% | 6,442 | 26.0\% | 7,306 | 28.6\% | 7,341 | 29.0\% |
| 20-24 | 9,447 | 45.1\% | 11,736 | 47.3\% | 11,607 | 45.4\% | 11,381 | 45.0\% |
| 25-39 | 4,047 | 19.5\% | 5,168 | 20.8\% | 5,217 | 20.4\% | 5,300 | 20.9\% |
| 40 or older | 1,282 | 6.3\% | 1,474 | 5.9\% | 1,424 | 5.6\% | 1,283 | 5.1\% |

## Parent Educational Attainment, Fall Semester 2012 to 2015

| Parent Level of Education | Fall 2012 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Fall 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| No High School Diploma | 3,326 | 15.9\% | 4,077 | 16.5\% | 4,142 | 17.4\% | 4,155 | 17.5\% |
| High School Diploma | 5,346 | 25.6\% | 6,589 | 26.6\% | 6,218 | 26.1\% | 6,271 | 26.5\% |
| Total No College | 8,672 | 41.4\% | 10,666 | 43.0\% | 10,360 | 43.5\% | 10,426 | 44.0\% |
| Some College/No Degree | 4,946 | 23.6\% | 5,832 | 23.5\% | 5,576 | 23.4\% | 5,415 | 22.9\% |
| Associate Degree | 1,794 | 8.6\% | 2,024 | 8.2\% | 1,903 | 8.0\% | 1,865 | 7.9\% |
| Bachelors Degree | 3,427 | 16.4\% | 3,957 | 16.0\% | 3,805 | 16.0\% | 3,721 | 15.7\% |
| Graduate Degree | 1,774 | 8.5\% | 1,932 | 7.8\% | 1,786 | 7.5\% | 1,878 | 7.9\% |
| No Response | 310 | 1.5\% | 371 | 1.5\% | 394 | 1.7\% | 372 | 1.6\% |

(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)
In fall 2015, 44\% of Fullerton College students were first-generation college students. Moreover, $67 \%$ of students enrolled in fall 2015 had parents without any sort of college degree. This is an increasing trend over the past three fall semesters. Fullerton College has responded to this by providing jumpstart and early commitment programs in feeder high schools to create a college-going culture and increase preparedness in incoming freshmen.

Board of Governors (BOG) Fee Waiver Eligibility, Fall Semester 2012 to 2015

| BOG Eligibility | Fall 2012 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Fall 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Yes - BOG eligible | 10,545 | 50.4\% | 13,106 | 52.9\% | 12,855 | 54.0\% | 13,037 | 55.1\% |
| No - Not eligible | 9,607 | 45.9\% | 10,762 | 43.4\% | 10,094 | 42.4\% | 9,818 | 41.5\% |
| No Response | 771 | 3.7\% | 914 | 3.7\% | 875 | 3.7\% | 822 | 3.4\% |

(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)
In fall 2015, 55\% of Fullerton College students were eligible for the California Community Colleges Board of Governors fee waiver, which permits enrollment fees to be waived. Under Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations, the student or student's family must have a total income in the prior year (in this case, 2014) that is equal to or less than $150 \%$ of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Poverty Guidelines based on family size. For a family of four the income threshold was $\$ 35,775$. The increase in proportion of BOG eligible students increases the collective needs of the Fullerton College student body. One of the ways the college continues to address these needs is through targeted programs such as EOPS, CARE, and the Chris Lamm and Toni DuBois-Walker Memorial Food Bank.

## Student Educational Objectives, Fall Semester 2012 to 2015

| Educational Goal | Fall 2012 | Fall 2013 | Fall 2014 | Fall 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of Total | \% of Total | \% of Total | \% of Total |
| 4 Year Student | 5.6\% | 4.5\% | 4.1\% | 4.0\% |
| Associate Degree and Transfer | 48.4\% | 51.1\% | 52.0\% | 54.0\% |
| Associate Degree Only | 4.3\% | 4.3\% | 4.5\% | 4.4\% |
| HS Completion | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% |
| Career <br> Advancement/Change | 5.5\% | 5.2\% | 3.8\% | 3.6\% |
| Educational Development | 3.2\% | 2.7\% | 1.4\% | 2.5\% |
| Career Exploration | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% |
| Non-Credit to Credit | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | < 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Transfer to university Only | 15.4\% | 15.2\% | 15.2\% | 15.0\% |
| Vocational Certificate/Degree | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 2.6\% | 2.1\% |
| Missing | 3.4\% | 3.3\% | 2.8\% | 2.5\% |
| Undecided | 9.4\% | 9.4\% | 9.0\% | 9.0\% |

(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)
More than half of all Fullerton College students declare the goal of earning an Associate Degree and transferring to a four-year college or university. Fifteen percent identify the single goal of transferring to a four-year institution, without identifying the goal of an Associate's degree. Aggregated, over 70\% of Fullerton College students aspire to complete an associate degree and/or transfer to a 4-year institution. This is a testament to the completion and transfer culture of Fullerton College. Students attend Fullerton College because they aspire to complete degrees and/or transfer to 4-year institutions and know there are services and staff available on campus to help them achieve their goals.

# Top Ten Student Majors, Fall Semester 2012-2015 

| Fall 2012 |  |  | Fall 2013 |  |  | Fall 2014 |  |  | Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Majors | Total | \% | Total | \# | \% | Total | \# | \% | Total | \# | \% |
| Liberal Studies | 4,104 | 19.6\% | Liberal Studies | 1,595 | 6.4\% | Business <br> Administration | 1,273 | 6.0\% | Business <br> Administration | 1,507 | 5.9\% |
| Business <br> Administration | 1,010 | 4.8\% | Business <br> Administration | 1,474 | 5.9\% | Business <br> Management | 1,309 | 5.1\% | Business <br> Management | 1,238 | 4.8\% |
| Business <br> Management | 899 | 4.3\% | Business <br> Management | 1,207 | 4.9\% | Pre-Nursing | 1,215 | 4.8\% | Pre-Nursing | 1,231 | 4.8\% |
| Psychology | 840 | 4.0\% | Biology | 1,120 | 4.5\% | Biology | 1,177 | 4.6\% | Biology | 1,185 | 4.6\% |
| Pre-Nursing | 816 | 3.9\% | Pre-Nursing | 1,108 | 4.5\% | Engineering | 1,002 | 3.9\% | Engineering | 1,077 | 4.2\% |
| Biology | 813 | 3.9\% | Psychology | 945 | 3.8\% | Psychology | 913 | 3.6\% | Psychology | 871 | 3.4\% |
| Engineering | 627 | 3.0\% | Engineering | 892 | 3.6\% | Art | 753 | 2.9\% | Computer Science | 775 | 3.0\% |
| Art | 566 | 2.7\% | Art | 724 | 2.9\% | Liberal Studies | 716 | 2.8\% | Art | 759 | 3.0\% |
| Music | 490 | 2.3\% | Administration of Justice | 696 | 2.8\% | Computer Science | 696 | 2.7\% | Kinesiology AA-T | 707 | 2.7\% |
| Accounting | 435 | 2.1\% | Accounting | 607 | 2.4\% | Administration of Justice | 664 | 2.6\% | Administration of Justice | 675 | 2.6\% |

(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)
Business Administration continues to be the largest single declared major among Fullerton College students, excluding Liberal Studies. In the fall 2015, semester career technical fields and STEM fields comprised seven of the top ten declared majors.

Top Ten Cities of Residence, Fall Semester 2012-2015

| Fall 2012 |  |  | Fall 2013 |  |  | Fall 2014 |  |  | Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 10 Cities | Total | \% | Top 10 Cities | Total | \% | Top 10 Cities | Total | \% | Top 10 Cities | Total | \% |
| Anaheim | 4,418 | 21.1\% | Anaheim | 5,282 | 21.3\% | Anaheim | 5,551 | 21.7\% | Anaheim | 6,260 | 24.7\% |
| Fullerton | 3,656 | 17.5\% | Fullerton | 4,046 | 16.3\% | Fullerton | 4,164 | 16.3\% | Fullerton | 4,711 | 18.6\% |
| La Habra | 1,422 | 6.8\% | La Habra | 1,591 | 6.4\% | La Habra | 1,615 | 6.3\% | La Habra | 1,898 | 7.5\% |
| Whittier | 1,196 | 5.7\% | Whittier | 1,451 | 5.9\% | Whittier | 1,533 | 6.0\% | Whittier | 1,754 | 6.9\% |
| Placentia | 986 | 4.7\% | Placentia | 1,126 | 4.5\% | Placentia | 1,136 | 4.4\% | Placentia | 1,300 | 5.1\% |
| Yorba Linda | 925 | 4.4\% | Buena Park | 1,059 | 4.3\% | Buena Park | 1,118 | 4.4\% | Buena Park | 1,129 | 4.5\% |
| Buena Park | 881 | 4.2\% | Yorba Linda | 943 | 3.8\% | Brea | 951 | 3.7\% | Brea | 1,104 | 4.4\% |
| Brea | 869 | 4.2\% | Brea | 924 | 3.7\% | Yorba Linda | 872 | 3.4\% | Yorba Linda | 1,027 | 4.1\% |
| La Mirada | 634 | 3.0\% | La Mirada | 746 | 3.0\% | La Mirada | 775 | 3.0\% | La Mirada | 869 | 3.4\% |
| Orange | 490 | 2.3\% | Orange | 565 | 2.3\% | Orange | 559 | 2.2\% | Garden Grove | 600 | 2.4\% |
| Top Ten | 15,477 | 73.9\% | Top Ten | 17,733 | 71.5\% | Top Ten | 18,274 | 71.5\% | Top Ten | 20,652 | 81.6\% |

(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)
The cities of Anaheim, Fullerton, La Habra, Whittier, and Placentia consistently rank as the top five cities of origin for Fullerton College students. Overall, the top nine cities have remained in the top nine the past four fall semesters. Students from these top ten cities made up a combined $81 \%$ of the student population in fall 2015. This is a prominent increase from fall 2014 to fall 2015. Increased outreach and course offerings at local high schools may be impacting where students choose to go to college.

## Chapter II: Measures of Institutional Effectiveness

The measures of institutional effectiveness provided in this chapter align with or are directly from the student outcome metrics in the current state-wide accountability report, the Student Success Scorecard. Many of the key indicators address the main areas of student success measured by the Student Success Scorecard, including, persistence, completion, Basic Skills throughput, and Career Technical Education completion.

Academic Year Enrollment Trends, 2009-2010 to 2015-2016



Source: NOCCCD Argos Reports

Total student enrollment at Fullerton College saw tremendous growth during the 20122013 and 2013-2014 academic years, after past reductions in course offerings imposed by state budget constraints and efforts to bring enrollment within state limits for funding. While Fullerton College has consistently served more students than the number for which the state provided funding, strict constraints on expenditures in all budget areas could not compensate sufficiently for state funding reductions. The unavoidable reductions in course offerings reduced the total number of students the college was able to serve during previous years. But with the influx of state revenues and current growth funding, enrollments rose drastically and are now expected to stabilize. From 2012-2013 to 2013-2014 there was an increase of over $18 \%$ in first-time freshmen and overall students that enrolled at Fullerton College. The Community College Week publication cited Fullerton College as the fastest growing community college in 2013-2014. Enrollment growth from 2013-2014 to 2015-2016 has plateaued, yet we continue to see steady increases in first-time freshmen enrollment.

Fall Semester Student Unit Load, 2013 to 2015

(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files.)
Approximately $35 \%$ of Fullerton College students enrolled at full-time status during the fall 2015 semester. The rate for full-timers has remained fairly constant, with an increase in students enrolling less than 6 units, and decrease in those enrolling in 6 to 11.5 units. This may be the product of an improved economic climate.

Overall Course Retention and Success Rates, 2012-2013 to 2014-2016

(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)

Course Success Rates by Course Type and Race/Ethnicity, Fall Semester 2015

| Race/Ethnicity | Overall | Basic Skills | Transfer | Vocational |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African-American | $52.2 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ |
| American Indian | $54.3 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | $54.4 \%$ |
| Asian | $74.8 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ | $75.1 \%$ | $74.8 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $64.4 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ | $64.7 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $66.2 \%$ | $62.3 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $65.6 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $57.1 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ |
| White | $70.6 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ |
| Unknown | $67.2 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ | $67.5 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ |
| Total | $66.9 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ | $67.4 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ |

Source: California Community College Chancellor's Office: Data Mart
Retention rates across all semesters have remained stable. A slight decline is visible across same semesters. As Fullerton College has increased its section offerings, it has experienced slight declines in success rates. This may be the product of increased overall enrollments and higher enrollments of at-risk populations, as the proportions of first generation, BOG eligible, and underrepresented students have increased. Equity analysis on course success rates by student race/ethnicity shows no disparate outcomes for Hispanic
students in any course type. African American and Pacific Islander student group data exhibit inequitable outcomes across all course types when compared to White students. The college is expanding programs with proven track records of improving course success, and specifically those that target at-risk populations, to address the needs of the growing student population. These activities are detailed in the 2015-2017 Fullerton College Strategic Plan and the Student Equity Plan.

## Scorecard

The California Community Colleges Student Success Scorecard was created on the recommendation from the Student Success Task Force. It was recommended that a new accountability framework be implemented, whose purpose would be to provide stakeholders with clear and concise information on key student progress and success metrics. The ARCC Advisory Workgroup was convened to guide the development and it recommended a four tiered accountability framework, where each level targets a different audience (this report provides the first two levels):

- The first level provides a report of the state of the system, a high level overview for legislators and policy makers that summarizes a number of system level aggregations of data and annual performance.
- The scorecard itself is the second level and measures progress and completion at each college for various groups of student demographics, including those with different levels of college preparation. This will be the core of the framework and part of the report that focuses on the performance of each college and incorporates many of the recommendations from the SSTF, such as providing metrics pertaining to momentum points, the disaggregation of metrics by racial and ethnic groups and the inclusion of students taking less than 12 units.
- The third level is the ability to drill down further into the scorecard metrics through the existing online query tool, CCCCO Datamart.
- The fourth or most detailed level is the ability for researchers to download the datasets (Data-on-Demand) pertaining to each metric for their particular college.

In this section of the Institutional Effectiveness Report, the first and second levels of Student Success Scorecard data will be detailed and discussed.

## Persistence Rate

The persistence rate is defined as the percentage of first-time students with a minimum of 6 units earned who attempted any Math or English in the first three years and achieved the following measure of progress (or momentum point):

- Enroll in the first three consecutive primary semester terms (or four quarter terms) anywhere in the CCC system.

In the following chart we see the Fullerton College overall cohort rate, and those of prepared and unprepared students are higher than the statewide figures. Female students perform slightly higher than male students. Persistence rates by race/ethnicity show some variation across groups. Prepared students generally have higher persistence.

| CCCCO Scorecard 2009-2010 Cohort | Overall |  | Prepared |  | Unprepared |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fullerton College | Statewide | Fullerton College | Statewide | Fullerton College | Statewide |
| Cohort | 82.3\% | 73.4\% | 81.9\% | 75.1\% | 82.4\% | 72.9\% |
| Female | 83.6\% | 73.9\% | 83.2\% | 75.8\% | 83.7\% | 73.3\% |
| Male | 81.1\% | 73.0\% | 80.8\% | 74.6\% | 81.2\% | 72.4\% |
| Under 20 years old | 83.3\% | 74.4\% | 82.9\% | 75.7\% | 83.5\% | 73.9\% |
| 20 to 24 years old | 70.6\% | 66.3\% | 69.7\% | 70.9\% | 70.9\% | 65.2\% |
| 25 to 39 years old | 76.4\% | 70.7\% | 78.6\% | 71.1\% | 76.1\% | 70.6\% |
| 40 or more years old | 91.7\% | 76.7\% | 92.3\% | 72.3\% | 91.5\% | 77.4\% |
| African American | 75.7\% | 68.2\% | 80.0\% | 69.2\% | 75.2\% | 68.1\% |
| Am. Ind./ Al. Nat. | 92.9\% | 71.9\% | 100.0\%* | 74.1\% | 91.7\% | 71.3\% |
| Asian | 84.1\% | 79.1\% | 79.4\% | 75.8\% | 88.9\% | 80.9\% |
| Filipino | 82.1\% | 76.9\% | 81.4\% | 77.5\% | 82.5\% | 76.7\% |
| Hispanic | 81.4\% | 72.3\% | 81.6\% | 75.3\% | 81.3\% | 71.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 76.9\% | 69.8\% | 66.7\%* | 70.0\% | 80.0\% | 69.7\% |
| White | 83.3\% | 73.7\% | 82.7\% | 75.8\% | 83.6\% | 72.6\% |

[^0]
## 30-Unit Attainment Rate

The 30-unit rate is defined as the percentage of first-time students with a minimum of 6 units earned who attempted any Math or English in the first three years and achieved the following measure of progress (or milestone) within six years of entry:

- Earned at least 30 units in the CCC system.

The 30-units rate is reported for the overall cohort, as well as by lowest level of attempted Math or English.

The data below show the Fullerton College cohort has higher rates overall and by preparedness than statewide data. Female students perform slightly higher than male students. As with the persistence data, prepared student rates are higher than unprepared students, with Asians as the exception. This anomaly could be a factor of "over preparedness" in the subpopulation leading to quicker educational goal attainment.

| CCCCO Scorecard <br> 2009-2010 Cohort | Overall |  | Prepared |  | Unprepared |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Fullerton <br> College | Statewide | Fullerton <br> College | Statewide | Fullerton <br> College | Statewide <br> Cohort |
| Female | $72.6 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Male | $74.4 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | $80.3 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ | $72.4 \%$ | $67.5 \%$ |
| Under 20 years old | $71.2 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ | $76.1 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ | $63.9 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years old | $74.2 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $74.8 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ |
| 25 to 39 years old | $58.4 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ | $63.3 \%$ | $57.6 \%$ | $55.3 \%$ |
| 40 or more years old | $66.0 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ |
| African American | $66.7 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ |
| Am. Ind./ Al. Nat. | $54.1 \%$ | $55.3 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $62.4 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ | $54.4 \%$ |
| Asian | $50.0 \%$ | $61.6 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ |
| Filipino | $80.1 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ | $76.3 \%$ | $75.1 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $78.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $79.2 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $74.8 \%$ | $76.2 \%$ | $69.9 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $69.7 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $74.8 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $63.5 \%$ |
| White | $69.2 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ |

[^1]
## Degree/Transfer Completion (SPAR) Rate

The degree/transfer completion rate is defined as the percentage of first-time students with a minimum of 6 units earned who attempted any Math or English in the first three years and achieved any of the following outcomes within six years of entry:

- Earned AA/AS or credit Certificate (Chancellor's Office approved)
- Transfer to four-year institution (students shown to have enrolled at any four-year institution of higher education after enrolling at a CCC)
- Achieved "Transfer Prepared" (student successfully completed 60 UC/CSU transferable units with a GPA >= 2.0)

The data below show the Fullerton College cohort has higher rates overall when compared to statewide data. Female students have higher completion rates when compared to males, but this did not qualify as an inequitable outcome in equity analysis. Hispanic students were the only group with a sizable cohort size to show inequitable outcomes by race/ethnicity when compared to White students in equity analyses. This is an interesting finding given the Hispanic subpopulation was not identified in student equity successful course completion data analysis. Unprepared Hispanic and African American students have the lowest completion rate by race/ethnicity group, of groups with sufficient cohort size. These findings have informed actions outlined in the Fullerton College student equity plan to address the disparate outcomes.

| CCCCO Scorecard 2009-2010 Cohort | Overall |  | Prepared |  | Unprepared |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fullerton College | Statewide | Fullerton College | Statewide | Fullerton College | Statewide |
| Cohort | 50.7\% | 47.1\% | 72.3\% | 70.0\% | 42.8\% | 47.1\% |
| Female | 53.4\% | 48.8\% | 76.1\% | 73.9\% | 45.5\% | 48.8\% |
| Male | 48.0\% | 45.3\% | 68.4\% | 66.9\% | 40.2\% | 45.3\% |
| Under 20 years old | 52.5\% | 50.4\% | 74.2\% | 72.1\% | 44.4\% | 50.4\% |
| 20 to 24 years old | 35.5\% | 34.9\% | 55.3\% | 57.5\% | 28.1\% | 34.9\% |
| 25 to 39 years old | 38.7\% | 33.6\% | 42.9\% | 52.6\% | 38.0\% | 33.5\% |
| 40 or more years old | 41.7\% | 33.2\% | 69.2\% | 50.1\% | 34.0\% | 33.2\% |
| African American | 35.1\% | 35.2\% | 60.0\% | 62.4\% | 32.7\% | 35.2\% |
| Am. Ind./ Al. Nat. | 35.7\% | 41.4\% | 50.0\%* | 66.1\% | 33.3\% | 41.4\% |
| Asian | 72.0\% | 64.3\% | 78.9\% | 80.9\% | 64.9\% | 64.3\% |
| Filipino | 61.3\% | 53.7\% | 74.4\% | 74.1\% | 52.4\% | 53.7\% |
| Hispanic | 41.9\% | 39.7\% | 64.4\% | 63.3\% | 36.8\% | 39.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 53.8\% | 38.3\% | 33.3\%* | 53.2\% | 60.0\% | 38.3\% |
| White | 54.8\% | 51.4\% | 76.1\% | 69.9\% | 46.0\% | 51.4\% |

*Cohort fewer than 10 students
Source: California Community College Chancellor's Office: Data Mart

## Career Technical Education Completion Rate

The Career Technical Education completion rate is defined as the percentage of students who attempted a CTE course for the first-time and completed more than 8 units in the subsequent three years in a single discipline (2-digit vocational TOP code where at least one of the courses is occupational SAM B or C ) and who achieved any of the following outcomes within six years of entry:

- Earned any AA/AS or credit Certificate (Chancellor's Office approved)
- Transfer to four-year institution (students shown to have enrolled at any four-year institution of higher education after enrolling at a CCC)
- Achieved "Transfer Prepared" (student successfully completed 60 UC/CSU transferable units with a GPA >= 2.0)

The chart below shows the Fullerton College cohort has higher rates overall than statewide data. Female students have higher rates of CTE completion than male students. By race/ethnicity group, Filipino students are the highest, with Hispanic and Asian student following closely behind. It is important to note here that there is no equity gap in CTE completion rate across gender and racial/ethnic groups.

| CCCCO Scorecard <br> $2009-2010 ~ C o h o r t ~$ | Overall |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Fullerton <br> College | Statewide |
| Cohort | $59.5 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ |
| Female | $60.6 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ |
| Male | $58.8 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ |
| Under 20 years old | $66.9 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years old | $55.9 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ |
| 25 to 39 years old | $52.4 \%$ | $44.3 \%$ |
| 40 or more years old | $36.9 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ |
| African American | $55.0 \%$ | $45.1 \%$ |
| Am. Ind./ Al. Nat. | $40.0 \% *$ | $49.1 \%$ |
| Asian | $62.5 \%$ | $57.3 \%$ |
| Filipino | $72.7 \%$ | $60.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $57.3 \%$ | $50.1 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $57.1 \%$ | $51.3 \%$ |
| White | $58.4 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ |

*Cohort fewer than 10 students
Source: California Community College Chancellor's Office: Data Mart

Fullerton College Associate Degrees Awarded, 2013-2014 to 2015-2016


Source: NOCCCD Argos Reports as of October 10, 2016

The chart above reports the total number of associate degrees awarded by academic year. The total number of degrees awarded by Fullerton College increased 8\% between 201415 and 2015-16. There has been an increase in AA and AA-T degrees, and slight decline in AS/AS-T degrees awarded. The transfer degrees (AA-T and AS-T) represent an increasingly desirable option for students, as exhibited in the rise of the number of these degrees awarded.

Associate degrees for transfer provide students guaranteed admission to one of the California State University campuses within a similar major. While students completing transfer degrees may not actually transfer to a California State University campus, the degree gives students added flexibility and choices when compared to the traditional Associate's degrees.

## Fullerton College Certificates Awarded, 2013-2014 to 2015-2016


(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)
Total number of certificates awarded declined from 444 in 2010-11 to 257 in 2012-13, and increased to 347 in 2014-2015. This was a $21 \%$ increase in certificates awarded from 2013-2014 to 2014-2015. The significant decline is indicative of a short-term displacement of some technical programs to achieve a long-term improvement in student instructional facilities and technical application labs. The college completed the extensive renovation of many oncampus CTE program facilities in the fall of 2013. Some technical programs were housed in offcampus facilities during this renovation project, with temporarily reduced capacity. To minimize disruption for students during this period, the college's planning process provided for accelerated program completion in 2010-11. Because these technical programs contribute significantly to the total numbers of certificates awarded, the brief reduction in capacity and enrollment levels in these programs had a visible impact on total certificates awarded at Fullerton College in recent years. Since the completion of the facilities we saw the number of certificates awarded increased in 2014-2015. From 2014-2015 to 2015-2016 there was an 17\% decrease in the number of certificates completed. Part of this decrease is attributed to the Administration of Justice FCPA certificate program not being offered in 2015-2016.

Degrees and Certificates Awarded, 2013-2014 to 2015-2016

| Degree/Certificate | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 2 0 1 5}$ | 2015-2016 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Associate of Arts (A.A) degree | 1,073 | 1,024 | 1,097 |
| Associate in Arts for Transfer (A.A.-T) degree | 251 | 340 | 434 |
| Associate of Science (A.S.) degree | 162 | 188 | 171 |
| Associate in Science for Transfer (A.S.-T) degree | 167 | $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ | 224 |
| Associate degree total | 1,653 | 1,780 | 1,926 |
| Certificate requiring 18 to 30 units | 83 | 99 | 128 |
| Certificate requiring 30 to 60 units | 205 | 248 | 160 |
| Certificate Total | $\mathbf{2 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 8}$ |
| Overall Total | $\mathbf{1 , 9 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 1 4}$ |

Source: NOCCCD Argos Reports as of October 10, 2016

## Fullerton College Transfer by Volume, 2008-2009 to 2015-2016


*At the time of this report Private College transfer data was not available
Fullerton College has a rich history of strong transfer programs. One of the difficulties in analyzing trends in transfer are the various external influences, such as UC/CSU admissions policies, that impact how many FC students transfer. In 2015-2016, the number of students that Fullerton College transferred to the CSU was the $2^{\text {nd }}$ most in the past 8 years. Fullerton College is consistently one of the top colleges transferring Hispanic/Latino students to CSU campuses.

## Transfer Velocity, Cohorts 2006-2007 to 2008-2009

"The initial group or cohort of first-time students is evaluated six years after initial enrollment in order to determine if they have shown behavioral intent to transfer. If by six years after initial enrollment a student has completed twelve credit units and attempted transfer-level math or English, the student then enters into the Transfer Cohort and that student's transfer outcome is calculated for a variety of time frames ranging from three years after initial enrollment to as high as twelve years after initial enrollment, time allowing. Obviously, more recent cohorts will have a smaller range of time windows available with the more recent cohort showing transfer rates for just three years, four years, five years, etc. after initial enrollment at a CCC."

| Cohort Year <br> $2006-2007$ |  | Cohort Year <br> $2007-2008$ |  | Cohort Year <br> 2008-2009 |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cohort Student | Transferred <br> Student | Cohort Student | Transferred <br> Student | Cohort <br> Student | Transferred <br> Student |
| 2,117 | 949 | 2,335 | 1,013 | 2,614 | 1,140 |

(Source: 2016 Transfer Velocity Cohort)
Transfer rates by cohort have remained around 44\% over the past three cohorts, while the overall volume has increased.

| Gender | Cohort Year <br> 2006-2007 |  | Cohort Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 2 0 0 8}$ |  | Cohort Year <br> 2008-2009 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Cohort <br> Student | Transferred <br> Student | Cohort <br> Student | Transferred <br> Student | Cohort <br> Student | Transferred <br> Student |
|  | 1,116 | 504 | 1,213 | 544 | 1,339 | 605 |
| Male | 987 | 436 | 1,084 | 458 | 1,236 | 524 |
| Unknown | 14 | 9 | 38 | 11 | 39 | 11 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 4 0}$ |

(Source: 2016 Transfer Velocity Cohort)

| Ethnicity | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cohort Year } \\ & 2006-2007 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cohort Year } \\ \text { 2007-2008 } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cohort Year } \\ \text { 2008-2009 } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cohort <br> Student | Transferred Student | Cohort <br> Student | Transferred Student | Cohort <br> Student | Transferred Student |
| AfricanAmerican | 55 | 31 | 51 | 29 | 99 | 50 |
| American <br> Indian/ <br> Alaskan <br> Native | 17 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 20 | 6 |
| Asian | 288 | 170 | 296 | 185 | 357 | 224 |
| Filipino | 75 | 31 | 70 | 35 | 89 | 39 |
| Hispanic | 751 | 277 | 882 | 290 | 972 | 334 |
| Pacific Islander | 16 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 26 | 6 |
| Unknown | 186 | 88 | 220 | 95 | 239 | 100 |
| White NonHispanic | 729 | 339 | 793 | 368 | 812 | 381 |
| Total | 2,117 | 949 | 2,335 | 1,013 | 2,614 | 1,140 |

(Source: 2016 Transfer Velocity Cohort)

| Age Group | Cohort Year <br> 2006-2007 |  | Cohort Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 2 0 0 8}$ |  | Cohort Year <br> 2008-2009 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Cohort <br> Student | Transferred <br> Student | Cohort <br> Student | Transferred <br> Student | Cohort <br> Student | Transferred <br> Student |
|  | 1,911 | 882 | 2,113 | 925 | 2,373 | 1,070 |
| $20-24$ | 149 | 50 | 142 | 62 | 154 | 47 |
| $25-39$ | 38 | 11 | 59 | 22 | 56 | 14 |
| 40 or Older | 19 | 6 | 21 | 4 | 31 | 9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 4 0}$ |

(Source: 2016 Transfer Velocity Cohort)

## CTE Job Placement Related Data for Fullerton College

## Source: CTE Employment Outcomes Survey 2015

- $77 \%$ of skills-building students reported being employed for pay after completing their studies at Fullerton College.
- There was a 13 percentage point increase in full-time employment among skills-building students who completed their studies at Fullerton College ( $29 \%$ were employed full time before their studies, and $42 \%$ were employed full-time after their studies).

Source: CCCCO Perkins IV Report for 2016-17 Fiscal Year as Reported to ACCJC

Percent of 2013-14 cohort that is employed by TOP Codes for CTE (based on EDD data)

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program | TOP Code | Job Placement Rate (\%) |
| Applied Photography | 101200 | 100.00 |
| Police Academy | 210550 | 94.29 |
| Computer Information Systems | 070200 | 92.31 |
| Computer Programming | 070710 | 90.00 |
| Automotive Technology | 094800 | 85.29 |
| Radio and Television | 060400 | 84.00 |
| Administration of Justice | 210500 | 82.89 |
| Journalism | 060200 | 80.00 |
| Interior Design and Merchandising | 130200 | 80.00 |
| Preschool Age Children | 130540 | 80.00 |
| Business Management | 050600 | 78.00 |
| Business Administration | 050500 | 77.63 |
| Fashion | 130300 | 76.47 |
| Manufacturing and Industrial Technology | 095600 | 75.51 |
| Child Development/Early Care and Education | 130500 | 75.00 |
| Construction Crafts Technology | 095200 | 75.00 |
| Paralegal | 140200 | 73.08 |
| Music | 100400 | 68.89 |
| Graphic Art and Design | 103000 | 66.67 |
| Accounting | 050200 | 65.85 |
| Drafting Technology | 095300 | 64.71 |
| Cosmetology and Barbering | 300700 | 63.72 |
| Television (Including Combined TV/Film/Video) | 060420 | 63.16 |
| Commercial Music | 100500 | 54.55 |
| Horticulture | 010900 | 38.46 |
|  |  |  |

## Skills Builder Outcomes, 2016 CCCCO Scorecard

Skills Builder Data - The median percentage change in wages for students who completed higher level CTE coursework in 2012-2013 and left the system without receiving any type of traditional outcome such as transfer to a four year college or completion of a degree or certificate. Overall, the median \% change in wages for these students was $19 \%$.

| Programs with highest enrollments | Median \% Change | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accounting | $44.7 \%$ | 101 |
| Administration of Justice | $44.6 \%$ | 64 |
| Business Management | $33.9 \%$ | 63 |
| Interior Design and Merchandising | $21.0 \%$ | 22 |
| Graphic Art and Design | $13.9 \%$ | 25 |
| Drafting Technology | $8.9 \%$ | 33 |
| Radio and Television | $6.2 \%$ | 32 |
| Manufacturing and Industrial Technology | $6.0 \%$ | 35 |
| Fashion | $0.7 \%$ | 40 |
| Construction Crafts Technology | $-1.0 \%$ | 21 |

Source: CCCCO Scorecard 2016

Resident Full Time Equivalent Students (FTES) Generation, 2011-2012 to 2015-2016

(Source: NOCCCD 5-year Comparison Table)
An important measure of productivity is annual generation of FTES. Community colleges are funded through the state primarily based on FTES generation. The past academic year the annual resident FTES has begun to stabilize. The 2011-2012 budget cuts are evident here and we see the recovery of FTES as state revenues and funding have been restored. In 2015-16, Fullerton College was $5^{\text {th }}$ among all California community colleges in resident credit FTES.

WSCH/FTEF Ratio, 2011-2012 to 2015-2016

(Source: NOCCCD 5-year Comparison Table)

The weekly student contact hours per full-time equivalent faculty (WSCH/FTEF) ratio is a measure of efficiency that represents the number of weekly student contact hours one fulltime equivalent faculty unit generates. A target of 438 WSCH/FTEF, based on a 29 to 1 student/faculty ratio, has been the longstanding benchmark in the NOCCCD. Fullerton College has consistently performed above that measure of efficiency on an annual basis.

## Placement and Remedial Progress Rate

Recent years in higher education have seen a spotlight directed on the skills deficiencies present in most incoming college students as they undertake studies to fulfill their educational goals. On average nationally, nearly 70\% of incoming freshmen enter with English, Math, and Reading skills that are inadequate to succeed in college-level studies. Many students face the equivalent of several years of remedial courses to bring their Basic English, Math, and Reading skills to the level they need to complete their goals. The lack of preparation for college-level studies has a demoralizing effect on students and can deeply affect their motivation and ability to focus their educational efforts over an extended period. Fullerton College has implemented accelerated courses that will provide an expedited pathway to college-level courses and is piloting alternative placement models that rely heavily on student high school performance to predict college success. Data analysis are being conducted on these efforts and will be provided in future reports.

> Reading Assessment Test Placements, First-time Freshmen, Academic Year 2015-2016


College reading (READ 142) is the only college-level reading course offered at Fullerton College. Students placing into this course, based on their score on the Compass Reading Assessment or other multiple measures, have been assessed as college-ready in reading skills. During the academic year 2015-2016, 19\% of students taking the reading assessment over the course of the year were evaluated as prepared to enroll in college reading. Thirty-seven percent of students taking the assessment placed into college reading prep (READ 096), meaning this group of students had to successfully complete one Basic Skills level reading course before continuing to college reading. However, nearly 43\% of incoming students were assessed as needing two or more courses before being prepared for college level studies in reading alone.

Writing Assessment Test Placements,
First-time Freshmen, Academic Year 2015-2016

(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)

In 2015-2016, approximately 28\% percent of students placed into college writing (ENGL 100). Thirty-seven percent of students assessed needed to complete college writing prep (ENGL 060), one level below college writing, before attempting college writing. However, nearly $36 \%$ of students assessed at a level where they needed to successfully complete at least two developmental courses before attempting college level work in this area. Students now have the opportunity to enroll in accelerated courses that decrease the time they spend in developmental courses, as well as enroll in an enhanced English 100 pilot.

English-as-a-Second Language (ESL) Assessment Test Placements Firsttime Freshmen, Academic Year 2015-2016

(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)

The English-as-a-Second Language (ESL) program assists students who have another language as their primary one in becoming proficient in the English language, to support their success in college studies. Students testing into English-as-a-Second Language courses have been evaluated as benefiting from additional instruction in English before undertaking college-level work. Students who place into ESL 080 may face two years of remedial work to enhance their English skills. Approximately 53\% of first-time freshmen students taking the English-as-a- Second Language assessment placed into one of three introductory levels of ESL classes. ESL 186 is a prerequisite to college-level English.

Math Assessment Test Placements
First-time Freshmen, Academic Year 2015-2016

(Source: NOCCCD Argos Files)
During 2015-2016, approximately 31\% of first-time students placed into college math. However nearly 44\% of first-time freshmen placed two or more levels below college-level in math. The Fullerton College Math Department has added an accelerated math course that decreases the time spent in below-college-level courses and does not require a prerequisite course for enrollment.

## Remedial Progress Rates

The remedial progress rate is defined as the percentage of credit students who attempted a course designated at "levels below transfer" in:

- Math and successfully completed a college-level course in Math within six years.
- English and successfully completed a college-level course in English within six years.
- ESL and successfully completed a college-level ESL course or a college-level English course within six years.

The cohort is defined as the year the student attempts a course at "levels below transfer" in Math, English and/or ESL at that college.

In the chart below Fullerton College overall has higher remedial progress rates in all three disciplines when compared to statewide figures. Women have higher progress rates than men in Math and English. Equity analysis shows there are inequitable outcomes for African American students in all basic skills disciplines, Pacific Islander students in basic skills Math, and Female students in ESL. Actions have been incorporated into the student equity plan and the 2015-2017 Fullerton College Strategic Plan to address these inequities. Overall math success rates are low, a trend statewide, and one Fullerton College is investigating and approaching by expanding and implementing new programs targeting the discipline area.

| CCCCO Scorecard 2008-2009 Cohort | Math |  | English |  | ESL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fullerton College | Statewide | Fullerton College | Statewide | Fullerton College | Statewide |
| Cohort | 38.3\% | 32.7\% | 51.2\% | 45.4\% | 52.9\% | 28.6\% |
| Female | 40.7\% | 34.5\% | 55.7\% | 48.0\% | 51.2\% | 30.0\% |
| Male | 35.9\% | 30.3\% | 46.8\% | 42.5\% | 58.2\% | 26.6\% |
| Under 20 years old | 39.4\% | 36.3\% | 54.9\% | 51.5\% | 84.2\% | 47.2\% |
| 20 to 24 years old | 36.0\% | 29.0\% | 41.2\% | 37.5\% | 63.8\% | 38.5\% |
| 25 to 39 years old | 38.3\% | 30.8\% | 40.0\% | 37.6\% | 48.3\% | 23.1\% |
| 40 or more years old | 29.5\% | 26.4\% | 43.4\% | 32.2\% | 33.8\% | 14.4\% |
| African American | 31.3\% | 18.6\% | 31.8\% | 29.6\% | 40.0\%* | 22.0\% |
| Am. Ind./ Al. Nat. | 25.0\%* | 29.9\% | 55.6\%* | 38.2\% | 0.0\%* | 31.6\% |
| Asian | 61.5\% | 45.1\% | 64.4\% | 61.7\% | 57.9\% | 37.2\% |
| Filipino | 56.8\% | 40.0\% | 67.3\% | 55.1\% | 75.0\%* | 33.8\% |
| Hispanic | 34.9\% | 31.2\% | 47.7\% | 42.6\% | 35.6\% | 19.3\% |
| Pacific Islander | 27.3\% | 27.9\% | 50.0\% | 39.3\% | 0.0\%* | 19.5\% |
| White | 42.2\% | 36.8\% | 56.2\% | 49.5\% | 72.7\% | 32.4\% |

[^2]*Cohort fewer than 10 students

## Chapter III: Student Achievement Data

## Preface

The Institutional Effectiveness Coordinating Council was created in response to a District decision to change the governance structure of the District as outlined in the North Orange County Community College District 2012 Decision Making Resource Manual: Structure, Function and Alignment. In the North Orange County Community College District District-wide Strategic Plan 2012-2014, the Council was tasked with the development of a District-wide Institutional Effectiveness report that contained two key elements: 1) A District-wide Institutional Effectiveness report that met ACCJC guidelines, and 2) An inventory of Programs and Services to Address the Achievement Gap.

The Council decided to create Chapter One of each campus Institutional Effectiveness Report with the required items rather than to create a new standalone document. Data is presented in graphs and the accompanying data tables are available in the appendix.

## Definitions

## Successful Course Completion

Successful course completion is when a student successfully completes a section of a course with a grade of A, B, C or P. Grades of D, F, NP or W are not counted as successful course completions. Successful course completion is displayed as a count (total successful grades in all applicable sections) and percent (percentage of successful student course completions in sections).

## Student Achievement Data

The following charts display district-wide course completion data disaggregated according to the parameters outlined below. A brief analysis is included for each section.

## Age

- Transfer Programs
- Career \& Technical Education (CTE) Programs
- Basic Skills \& ESL Programs


## Gender

- Transfer Programs
- Career \& Technical Education (CTE) Programs
- Basic Skills \& ESL Programs


## Race/Ethnicity

- Transfer Programs
- Career \& Technical Education (CTE) Programs
- Basic Skills \& ESL Programs


## Student Educational Goal

- Transfer Programs
- Career \& Technical Education (CTE) Programs
- Basic Skills \& ESL Programs


## Socioeconomic Status

- Transfer Programs
- Career \& Technical Education (CTE) Programs
- Basic Skills \& ESL Programs


## Method of Instruction

- Transfer Programs
- Career \& Technical Education (CTE) Programs
- Basic Skills \& ESL Programs


## Fullerton College Persistence Data by Student Ed Goals, Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, Socioeconomic Status and Distance Ed/On Campus

Fullerton College persistence data by student education goals shows transfer seeking students are more likely to persist to the spring semester when to compared to their counterparts. Women and younger students also persisted at higher rates when compared to their respective counterparts. Additionally, students that completed coursework solely via distance education persisted at lower rates when compared to students in face-to-face courses.


Fullerton, Persistence \% from Fall-to-Spring by Gender
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## Fullerton, Persistence \% from Fall-to-Spring by Age




Fullerton, Persistence \% from Fall-to-Spring by Socioeconomic status
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Fullerton College Completion Data by Age, Disaggregated by Transfer, CTE, and Basic Skills/ESL Courses

Fullerton College course completion data for transfer and basic skills/ESL courses indicate that younger students generally have lower completion rates than older students, and that in basic skills/ESL courses, completion rates among the younger age groups have been on the decline. This is in contrast to the CTE course completion data, which is generally high for all age groups.



## Fullerton College Completion Data by Gender, Disaggregated by Transfer, CTE, and Basic Skills/ESL Courses

With respect to gender, Fullerton College course completion in transfer, CTE, and basic skills/ESL courses were consistently higher for females than for males. Completion rates in transfer courses have shown steady increases among both males and females, and CTE completion rates have remained generally high for both groups over time.



Fullerton, Basic Skills \& ESL Completion \% by Gender


Fullerton College Completion Data by Ethnicity, Disaggregated by Transfer, CTE, and Basic Skills/ESL Courses

Course completion rates in transfer, CTE, and basic skills/ESL courses for African American, Hispanic, and Pacific Islander students have consistently been the lowest, whereas the completion rates of White and Asian students have consistently been the highest.



## Fullerton College Completion Data by Educational Goal, Disaggregated by Transfer, CTE, and Basic Skills/ESL Courses

When considering course completion and student educational goal, the results varied depending on course type. Specifically, certificate and transfer seeking students overall had the highest course completion rates in transfer courses, and degree and transfer seeking students consistently had the lowest course completion rates in basic skills/ESL courses.



# Fullerton, Basic Skills \& ESL Completion \% by Student Ed Goals 



## Fullerton College Completion Data by Socioeconomic Status, Disaggregated by Transfer, CTE, and Basic Skills/ESL Courses

Students with lower socioeconomic status (as defined by being recipients of need-based financial aid) have generally had lower course completion rates in transfer, CTE, and basic skills courses than other students, however the gap tends to be smaller and is sometimes nonexistent when considering completion rates in CTE and basic skills/ESL courses.



## Fullerton, Transfer Completion \% by Distance Ed / On Campus

$76 \rightarrow \rightarrow$ Distance Ed
$\rightarrow$ On Campus


## Fullerton College Completion Data by Method of Instruction, Disaggregated by Transfer, CTE, and Basic Skills/ESL Courses

College-wide, distance education courses have had consistently lower course completion rates than on-campus courses among transfer, CTE, and basic skills/ESL courses. Completion of distance education courses has largely remained stable for transfer and CTE courses, but has declined in basic skills/ESL courses since fall 2013.

## Fullerton, Transfer Completion \% by Distance Ed / On Campus <br> $76 \rightarrow$ Distance Ed <br> $\rightarrow$ On Campus




## District-wide Student Achievement Data

## District-wide Completion Data by Age, Disaggregated by Transfer, CTE, and Basic Skills/ESL Courses

District-wide course completion data for transfer and basic skills/ESL courses indicate that younger students generally have lower completion rates than older students, and that in basic skills/ESL courses, completion rates among the younger age groups have been on the decline. This is in contrast to the transfer and CTE course completion data, which is generally high for all age groups, and has remained relatively stable or is on the rise in nearly all age groups.
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## Districtwide Completion Data by Gender, Disaggregated by Transfer, CTE, and Basic Skills/ESL Courses

With respect to gender, districtwide course completion in transfer, CTE, and basic skills/ESL courses were consistently higher for females than for males. Completion rates in transfer courses has shown recent stability among both males and females, and CTE completion rates have remained generally high for both groups over time.
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## Districtwide Completion Data by Ethnicity, Disaggregated by Transfer, CTE, and Basic Skills/ESL Courses

Ethnic groups varied with respect to districtwide course completion of transfer, CTE, and basic skills/ESL courses. Course completion rates of African American, Hispanic, and Pacific Islander students have consistently been the lowest, whereas the completion rates of White and Asian students have consistently been the highest. It is worth noting that since fall 2012, completion rates have been relatively stable in transfer and CTE courses among nearly all ethnic groups when compared to spring 2015.



## Districtwide Completion Data by Educational Goal, Disaggregated by Transfer, CTE, and Basic Skills/ESL Courses

When considering course completion and students' educational goal, the results varied depending on course type. Specifically, certificate seeking students consistently had the highest course completion rates in transfer courses, degree seeking students consistently had the highest course completion rates in CTE courses, and degree and transfer seeking students consistently had the lowest course completion rates in basic skills/ESL courses.
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## Districtwide Completion Data by Socioeconomic Status, Disaggregated by Transfer, CTE, and Basic Skills/ESL Courses

Students with lower socioeconomic status (as defined by being recipients of need-based financial aid) have generally had lower districtwide course completion rates in transfer, CTE, and basic skills courses than other students, however the gap tends to be smaller and is sometimes non-existent when considering completion rates in CTE and basic skills/ESL courses.
District Wide, Transfer Completion \% by Socioeconomic status

Completion \%
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## Districtwide Completion Data by Method of Instruction, Disaggregated by Transfer, CTE, and Basic Skills/ESL Courses

Distance education courses have had consistently lower districtwide course completion rates than on campus courses among transfer, CTE, and basic skills/ESL courses. Completion of distance education courses has remained stable for transfer courses, has increased for CTE courses, but has declined in basic skills/ESL courses since Fall 2012.


[^5]
## Conclusion

Fullerton College has made great strides toward improving student completion and reducing the student achievement gap. This is supported by the reduction in the achievement gap in areas of course success rates and remedial progress rates for Hispanic students and the increases in degrees awarded. Although, deficiencies in levels of preparation for college level work continue to represent a significant barrier to student success, persistence, and completion, the college continues to implement new strategies and curriculum to support students. With the recenet award of the Pathways Transformation Initiative, the college is moving towards higher proportions of first-time freshmen beginning their studies in college level courses. African American and Pacific Islander students tend to have lower successful completion rates across all course types than students from other ethnicities. These barriers are being addressed through the Student Equity, Student Success and Support Program, and Strategic plans. Results from the assessments of these efforts and evaluations of their effectiveness will be compiled and presented to the college community. These reports will assist the college in ensuring that resources are allocated to the programs that can achieve the greatest impact for students in reducing barriers to success, while minimizing duplication of these efforts.

As Fullerton College responds to and implements the reforms imposed through the Student Success and Support Program, Student Equity, Basic Skills, and Strong Workforce plans, an even greater focus will be placed on support for incoming students and the high school to college transition. The resulting higher levels of student support are expected to reinforce students' progress in their studies at Fullerton College and reduce barriers to success, simultaneously improving college progress toward the attainment of its goals and objectives.

## Appendix A

## Inventory of Programs and Services to Address the Achievement GAP

Fullerton College has focused on eliminating the documented racial and ethnic achievement gap since 2010 and was one of the first to incorporate college efforts towards equity in the college goals. Fullerton has regularly hosted the Closing the Latino Opportunity Gap Summit to inspire, foster collaboration, and create action within the college community. Planning processes at the college require the campus community reflect on the achievement/opportunity gap and what actions can be taken to address disparate outcomes.

The following is a summary of programs and services Fullerton College provides to address the achievement gap:

Counseling 50 High School Partnerships - Counseling course that familiarizes students with Fullerton College degrees, certificates, and transfer options and requirements for each. Students are also informed of the various services available to them when they enroll at the college.

Transfer Achievement Program - The Transfer Achievement Program (TAP) is a comprehensive program designed to assist at-risk students entering Fullerton College in developing the skills necessary for college success and achieving their expressed goal of transferring to a four-year college or university.

Entering Scholars Program - Fullerton College's Entering Scholars Program (ESP) is a first-year experience program designed to support students who are new to the college. With the goal of improving student retention, success and persistence, and in a collaborative effort between Instruction and Student Services, ESP classes embed a student tutor, and include visits from a classified professional and counselor into a reading or English course.

Incite - The Incite Program was developed in collaboration between the Academic Support Center, Counseling, and Physical Education to provide academic support for student athletes in the form of one-to-one counseling to develop educational plans, study hall, tutoring, academic preparation workshops, and monitoring of academic progress.

Smart Start Saturday - A one-day event designed to invite new students and their families to the college ten days before the fall semester begins to introduce them to the college environment and ease their transition. This is a collaborative effort between student services and instruction, this event includes campus tours, issuance of student identification cards, andone-to-one answers to questions about transfer, educational plans, student clubs, admissions matters, financial aid, EOPS, and all the
instructional divisions of the college.
PUENTE Project - The Puente Program is an academic preparation program that for more than 25 years has improved the college-going rate of tens of thousands of California's educationally disadvantaged students. Its mission is to increase the number of community college students who: enroll in four-year colleges and universities, earn college degrees, and return to the community as mentors and leaders of future generations.

Umoja - A Kiswahili word meaning unity, Umoja is a community and critical resource dedicated to enhancing the cultural and educational experiences of African American and other students. Umoja actively serves and promotes student success for all students through a curriculum and pedagogy responsive to the legacy of the African and African American Diasporas.

Chris Lamm and Toni DuBois-Walker Memorial Food Bank - In the spring of 2012 a small group of dedicated Fullerton College faculty and staff, along with assistance from the college Foundation, embarked on a voluntary project to open a food bank on campus. With donations from the campus community, a small grant from the Fullerton College Foundation, and some innovative fundraising, the food bank has expanded to serve more students each semester.

The Extended Opportunity Program \& Services (EOPS) - A program dedicated to recruiting and successfully retaining college students of educationally and socioeconomically disadvantaged backgrounds. The primary purpose of the EOPS program is to prepare students to transfer to a four-year university, complete an Associate's Degree or earn a vocational certificate in order to acquire desirable career-related skills to obtain rewarding employment as a result of their educational experience.

Appendix B
District-Wide Student Achievement Tables

District Wide Transfer by Age

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| 19 \& Under | 14977 | 71\% | 19137 | 69\% | 15983 | 70\% | 18797 | 67\% | 15977 | 69\% | 19033 | 68\% |
| 20-24 | 24135 | 67\% | 28159 | 68\% | 26317 | 68\% | 28130 | 67\% | 25922 | 67\% | 27963 | 67\% |
| 25-29 | 5665 | 69\% | 6565 | 70\% | 6151 | 67\% | 6564 | 69\% | 6530 | 69\% | 7043 | 70\% |
| 30-39 | 3473 | 73\% | 4052 | 73\% | 3998 | $73 \%$ | 4030 | 72\% | 3776 | 73\% | 3922 | 74\% |
| 40 \& Over | 2912 | 76\% | 3180 | 76\% | 3018 | 76\% | 3010 | 74\% | 2815 | 75\% | 2680 | 74\% |

District Wide Career \& Technical (CTE) by Age

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| 19 \& Under | 231 | 78\% | 263 | 75\% | 218 | 74\% | 222 | 70\% | 201 | 72\% | 227 | 81\% |
| 20-24 | 892 | 76\% | 1093 | 79\% | 966 | 76\% | 1044 | 78\% | 899 | 75\% | 992 | 75\% |
| 25-29 | 698 | 81\% | 743 | 81\% | 763 | 78\% | 740 | 80\% | 653 | 78\% | 750 | 81\% |
| 30-39 | 707 | 75\% | 775 | 79\% | 759 | 79\% | 762 | 80\% | 723 | 79\% | 740 | 79\% |
| 40 \& Over | 1424 | 75\% | 1502 | 76\% | 1402 | 76\% | 1383 | 77\% | 1150 | 75\% | 1031 | 75\% |

District Wide Basic Skills \& ESL by Age

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
| Age | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| 19 \& Under | 2186 | 66\% | 2539 | 66\% | 2127 | 64\% | 2404 | 63\% | 2255 | 60\% | 2449 | 59\% |
| 20-24 | 1606 | 62\% | 1561 | 63\% | 1510 | 59\% | 1588 | 59\% | 1499 | 59\% | 1662 | 58\% |
| 25-29 | 384 | 68\% | 439 | 69\% | 461 | 68\% | 507 | 68\% | 491 | 68\% | 543 | 64\% |
| 30-39 | 378 | 68\% | 397 | 74\% | 379 | 71\% | 375 | $71 \%$ | 365 | 70\% | 456 | 75\% |
| 40 \& Over | 386 | 72\% | 418 | 72\% | 353 | 70\% | 361 | $71 \%$ | 324 | 71\% | 369 | 73\% |

District Wide Other by Age

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| 19 \& Under | 660 | 57\% | 1083 | 57\% | 843 | 55\% | 1075 | 55\% | 722 | 57\% | 923 | 59\% |
| 20-24 | 1233 | 61\% | 1201 | 55\% | 1302 | 59\% | 1223 | 56\% | 1247 | 60\% | 1124 | 59\% |
| 25-29 | 373 | 63\% | 425 | 66\% | 503 | 68\% | 465 | 65\% | 474 | 68\% | 443 | 65\% |
| 30-39 | 289 | 75\% | 307 | 69\% | 351 | 70\% | 357 | 71\% | 340 | 73\% | 338 | 72\% |
| 40 \& Over | 315 | 79\% | 397 | 77\% | 367 | 77\% | 343 | 77\% | 281 | 75\% | 284 | 79\% |

District Wide Transfer by Gender

| Gender | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| F | 27180 | 72\% | 32174 | 71\% | 29519 | 71\% | 32158 | 69\% | 29569 | 70\% | 32371 | 70\% |
| M | 23316 | 69\% | 28001 | 69\% | 25207 | 67\% | 27633 | 66\% | 24683 | 67\% | 27382 | 67\% |
| N | 638 | 70\% | 746 | 69\% | 733 | 71\% | 732 | 70\% | 763 | 72\% | 888 | 70\% |

## District Wide Career \& Technical (CTE) by Gender

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| F | 2820 | 78\% | 2971 | 79\% | 2748 | 77\% | 2756 | 79\% | 2446 | 77\% | 2515 | 78\% |
| M | 1118 | 74\% | 1321 | 77\% | 1275 | 75\% | 1300 | 77\% | 1076 | 74\% | 1147 | 76\% |
| N | 85 | 79\% | 111 | 79\% | 83 | 80\% | 95 | 80\% | 103 | 81\% | 79 | 79\% |

District Wide Basic Skills \& ESL by Gender

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| F | 2955 | 69\% | 3353 | 69\% | 3009 | 65\% | 3170 | 64\% | 2845 | 64\% | 3186 | 64\% |
| M | 2069 | 64\% | 2443 | 62\% | 2123 | 59\% | 2366 | 58\% | 2013 | 59\% | 2227 | 57\% |
| N | 64 | 54\% | 90 | 61\% | 75 | 60\% | 79 | 63\% | 76 | 60\% | 66 | 57\% |

District Wide Other by Gender

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| F | 1257 | 62\% | 1314 | 61\% | 1444 | 64\% | 1407 | 60\% | 1462 | 61\% | 1410 | 62\% |
| M | 1407 | 65\% | 1467 | 63\% | 1505 | 64\% | 1630 | 64\% | 1568 | 65\% | 1656 | 63\% |
| N | 34 | 66\% | 42 | 60\% | 42 | 68\% | 43 | 71\% | 34 | 51\% | 46 | 76\% |

District Wide Transfer by Race/Ethnicity

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| African American | 1770 | 61\% | 2295 | 61\% | 2112 | 61\% | 2184 | 58\% | 2142 | 61\% | 2334 | 61\% |
| Americ an Indian | 393 | 72\% | 498 | 69\% | 409 | 69\% | 438 | 70\% | 395 | 67\% | 392 | 68\% |
| Asian | 9181 | 76\% | 10969 | 76\% | 9467 | 75\% | 10509 | 73\% | 9117 | 74\% | 10528 | 74\% |
| Filipino | 2752 | 73\% | 3337 | 72\% | 3069 | 74\% | 3378 | 71\% | 3064 | 72\% | 3299 | $72 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 20837 | 67\% | 25771 | 66\% | 24698 | 65\% | 27438 | 64\% | 25791 | 65\% | 28618 | 64\% |
| Pacific Islander | 264 | 61\% | 259 | 56\% | 250 | 62\% | 235 | 59\% | 259 | 62\% | 289 | 64\% |
| Unknown | 1269 | 72\% | 1268 | 75\% | 836 | 72\% | 732 | 71\% | 570 | 70\% | 546 | 68\% |
| White Non-Hispanic | 14207 | 73\% | 16054 | 73\% | 14347 | 73\% | 15345 | 72\% | 13491 | 73\% | 14410 | 73\% |

District Wide Career \& Technical (CTE) by Race/Ethnicity

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| African American | 149 | 76\% | 188 | 74\% | 145 | 72\% | 125 | 71\% | 133 | 67\% | 135 | 76\% |
| Americ an Indian | 13 | 59\% | 19 | 67\% | 18 | $72 \%$ | 32 | 88\% | 42 | 84\% | 30 | 81\% |
| Asian | 715 | 81\% | 773 | 81\% | 733 | 78\% | 763 | 83\% | 621 | 78\% | 695 | 79\% |
| Filipino | 301 | 82\% | 297 | 85\% | 296 | 81\% | 323 | 87\% | 242 | 82\% | 224 | 82\% |
| Hispanic | 1379 | $72 \%$ | 1598 | 75\% | 1536 | 73\% | 1562 | 73\% | 1481 | 73\% | 1483 | $73 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 21 | 63\% | 20 | 71\% | 13 | 72\% | 14 | 58\% | 8 | 80\% | 5 | 50\% |
| Unknown | 164 | 72\% | 206 | 77\% | 152 | 79\% | 139 | 78\% | 158 | 79\% | 119 | 76\% |
| White Non-Hispanic | 1236 | 80\% | 1264 | 80\% | 1158 | 81\% | 1152 | 81\% | 914 | 80\% | 1012 | 82\% |

District Wide Basic Skills \& ESL, by Race/Ethnicity

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Afric an Americ an | 186 | 57\% | 219 | 50\% | 206 | 53\% | 225 | 51\% | 183 | 49\% | 222 | 53\% |
| Americ an Indian | 24 | 57\% | 30 | 65\% | 30 | 71\% | 30 | 57\% | 16 | 48\% | 26 | 49\% |
| Asian | 747 | 75\% | 851 | 74\% | 709 | 70\% | 801 | 71\% | 728 | 74\% | 840 | 74\% |
| Filipino | 196 | 75\% | 215 | 66\% | 173 | 63\% | 218 | 68\% | 174 | 67\% | 201 | 63\% |
| Hispanic | 2841 | 65\% | 3385 | 64\% | 3042 | 61\% | 3251 | 59\% | 2898 | 59\% | 3220 | 58\% |
| Pacific Islander | 15 | 44\% | 29 | 70\% | 31 | 57\% | 29 | 45\% | 30 | 58\% | 23 | 51\% |
| Unknown | 86 | 71\% | 67 | 69\% | 48 | 60\% | 63 | 64\% | 41 | 75\% | 48 | 66\% |
| White Non-Hispanic | 962 | 68\% | 1065 | 68\% | 953 | 63\% | 979 | 66\% | 852 | 66\% | 863 | 63\% |

## District Wide Other, by Race/Ethnicity

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Afric an American | 112 | 57\% | 89 | 51\% | 102 | 57\% | 105 | 49\% | 120 | 61\% | 99 | 53\% |
| American Indian | 24 | 72\% | 24 | 58\% | 32 | 62\% | 33 | 55\% | 30 | 69\% | 27 | 75\% |
| Asian | 298 | 69\% | 345 | 69\% | 355 | 71\% | 372 | 69\% | 364 | 71\% | 338 | 66\% |
| Filipino | 124 | 70\% | 109 | 63\% | 109 | 64\% | 133 | 68\% | 110 | 64\% | 120 | 60\% |
| Hispanic | 1161 | 60\% | 1322 | 60\% | 1400 | 60\% | 1543 | 59\% | 1560 | 59\% | 1601 | 60\% |
| Pacific Islander | 8 | 34\% | 12 | 50\% | 12 | 54\% | 10 | 58\% | 15 | 60\% | 15 | 71\% |
| Unknown | 56 | 61\% | 47 | 67\% | 35 | 59\% | 33 | 62\% | 24 | 55\% | 30 | 66\% |
| White Non-Hispanic | 898 | 68\% | 867 | 66\% | 931 | 70\% | 845 | 66\% | 835 | 67\% | 879 | 68\% |

District Wide Transfer, by Student Ed Goals

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
| Student Ed Goals | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Certific ate seeking | 908 | 75\% | 1061 | 73\% | 1053 | 72\% | 1125 | 73\% | 1151 | 76\% | 1204 | 73\% |
| Degree seeking | 6360 | 69\% | 7126 | 68\% | 6659 | 70\% | 6851 | 69\% | 6262 | 68\% | 6485 | 69\% |
| Other | 14970 | 70\% | 17078 | 69\% | 16544 | 70\% | 16463 | 68\% | 15527 | 69\% | 15930 | 69\% |
| Transfer seeking | 42563 | 69\% | 51238 | 69\% | 46539 | 68\% | 51379 | 67\% | 46882 | 68\% | 52105 | 68\% |

District Wide Career Technical (CTE), by Student Ed Goals

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Ed Goals | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Certific ate seeking | 298 | 82\% | 342 | 78\% | 347 | 78\% | 397 | 82\% | 312 | 78\% | 346 | 77\% |
| Degree seeking | 664 | 81\% | 757 | 84\% | 654 | 83\% | 683 | 86\% | 589 | 85\% | 661 | 86\% |
| Diploma seeking | 64 | 66\% | 65 | 73\% | 70 | 63\% | 74 | 77\% | 68 | 66\% | 69 | 75\% |
| Other | 2713 | 76\% | 2752 | 77\% | 2752 | 76\% | 2671 | 77\% | 2430 | 75\% | 2372 | 76\% |
| Transfer seeking | 830 | 75\% | 993 | 77\% | 894 | 76\% | 957 | 76\% | 790 | 75\% | 896 | 77\% |
| Transfer to Credit | 1 | 100\% | 1 | 100\% | 0 | U\% | 1 | 100\% | 2 | 100\% | 2 | 100\% |

District Wide Basic Skills \& ESL by Student Ed Goals

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Ed Goals | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Certific ate seeking | 67 | 76\% | 61 | 70\% | 58 | 60\% | 62 | 72\% | 72 | 61\% | 81 | 64\% |
| Degree seeking | 586 | 62\% | 616 | 63\% | 530 | 58\% | 576 | 58\% | 545 | 59\% | 595 | 59\% |
| Diploma seeking | 290 | 99\% | 285 | 96\% | 172 | 95\% | 273 | 97\% | 197 | 97\% | 291 | 96\% |
| Other | 1986 | 70\% | 1999 | 70\% | 2036 | 68\% | 1973 | 66\% | 1885 | 66\% | 1882 | 64\% |
| Transfer seeking | 3393 | 62\% | 3593 | 63\% | 3434 | 61\% | 3607 | 60\% | 3623 | 60\% | 3940 | 59\% |
| Transfer to Credit | 0 | 0\% | 0 |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |

## District Wide Other by Student Ed Goals

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
| Student Ed Goals | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Certific ate seeking | 167 | 82\% | 201 | 76\% | 170 | 74\% | 204 | 79\% | 231 | 81\% | 257 | 79\% |
| Degree seeking | 517 | 69\% | 548 | 64\% | 575 | 67\% | 597 | 65\% | 565 | 69\% | 491 | 65\% |
| Other | 1189 | 67\% | 1381 | 67\% | 1267 | 68\% | 1410 | 66\% | 1138 | 68\% | 1250 | 71\% |
| Transfer seeking | 1837 | 58\% | 2248 | 55\% | 2340 | 58\% | 2238 | 54\% | 2053 | 58\% | 2042 | 57\% |

District Wide Transfer by Socioeconomic Status

| Completion |
| :--- |
| Socioeconomic status |

District Wide Career \& Technical (CTE) by Socioeconomic Status

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
| Socioeconomic status | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| FA | 2554 | 78\% | 3206 | 80\% | 2016 | 78\% | 2313 | 78\% | 1900 | 80\% | 2375 | 81\% |
| No FA | 797 | 80\% | 804 | 80\% | 878 | 80\% | 970 | 83\% | 726 | 82\% | 799 | 81\% |

District Wide Basic Skills and ESL by Socioeconomic Status

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socioeconomic status | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| FA | 7035 | 62\% | 8402 | 61\% | 6545 | 62\% | 7144 | 59\% | 6365 | 60\% | 6969 | 59\% |
| No FA | 1439 | 65\% | 1623 | 64\% | 1686 | 62\% | 1786 | 61\% | 1391 | 64\% | 1445 | 61\% |

District Wide Other by Socioeconomic Status

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
| Socioeconomic status | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| FA | 4220 | 63\% | 4249 | 60\% | 3504 | 61\% | 3549 | 60\% | 3605 | 63\% | 3525 | 61\% |
| No FA | 1048 | 62\% | 1164 | 65\% | 1242 | 64\% | 1304 | 63\% | 1120 | 64\% | 1234 | 66\% |

District Wide Transfer by Distance Ed/On Campus

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
| Distance Ed / On Campus | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Distance Ed | 18303 | 65\% | 24784 | 66\% | 21769 | 64\% | 24495 | 63\% | 23421 | 65\% | 26595 | 65\% |
| On Campus | 32860 | 71\% | 36310 | 71\% | 33728 | 71\% | 36076 | 70\% | 31601 | 71\% | 34065 | 71\% |

District Wide Career \& Technical (CTE) by Distance Ed/On Campus

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance Ed/ On Campus | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Distance Ed | 708 | 72\% | 724 | 72\% | 756 | 73\% | 759 | 73\% | 567 | 72\% | 548 | 76\% |
| On Campus | 1324 | 84\% | 1562 | 84\% | 1259 | 83\% | 1475 | 85\% | 1288 | 85\% | 1615 | 83\% |

District Wide Basic Skills \& ESL, by Distance Ed/On Campus

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
| Distance Ed/ On Campus | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Distance Ed | 1100 | 50\% | 1550 | 54\% | 1243 | 50\% | 1371 | 47\% | 1186 | 49\% | 1226 | 46\% |
| On Campus | 3255 | 68\% | 3604 | 66\% | 3507 | 65\% | 3656 | 64\% | 3343 | 65\% | 3692 | 64\% |

District Wide Other, by Distance Ed/On Campus

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
| Distance Ed/ On Campus | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Distance Ed | 870 | 51\% | 905 | 53\% | 930 | 54\% | 871 | 47\% | 937 | 55\% | 1014 | 55\% |
| On Campus | 2000 | 69\% | 2112 | 66\% | 2066 | 66\% | 2212 | 69\% | 2127 | 67\% | 2098 | 67\% |

## Appendix C

## Fullerton College Student Achievement Tables

Fullerton Transfer by Age

|  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| 19 \& Under | 17948 | 67\% | 16436 | 65\% | 17915 | 65\% | 16240 | 66\% | 18327 | 67\% | 16458 | 67\% |
| 20-24 | 19226 | 65\% | 19674 | 64\% | 19127 | 64\% | 19682 | 65\% | 18682 | 65\% | 19325 | 66\% |
| 25-29 | 4487 | 65\% | 4585 | 64\% | 4719 | 66\% | 4912 | 66\% | 4771 | 65\% | 5119 | 67\% |
| 30-39 | 2549 | 67\% | 2673 | 68\% | 2564 | 66\% | 2643 | 70\% | 2601 | $71 \%$ | 2764 | 69\% |
| 40 \& Over | 1984 | 69\% | 1972 | 66\% | 1894 | 70\% | 1843 | 72\% | 1767 | 73\% | 1757 | $71 \%$ |

Fullerton Career \& Technical (CTE) by Age

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
| Age | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| 19 \& Under | 131 | 75\% | 139 | 71\% | 124 | 75\% | 146 | 82\% | 113 | 73\% | 154 | 77\% |
| 20-24 | 336 | 73\% | 359 | 74\% | 307 | 74\% | 351 | 76\% | 286 | 73\% | 334 | 75\% |
| 25-29 | 155 | 78\% | 170 | 77\% | 152 | 71\% | 181 | 82\% | 195 | 77\% | 193 | 76\% |
| 30-39 | 120 | 79\% | 123 | 76\% | 133 | 80\% | 154 | 79\% | 151 | 77\% | 147 | 82\% |
| 40 \& Over | 109 | 84\% | 124 | 74\% | 110 | 76\% | 117 | 78\% | 106 | 80\% | 116 | 78\% |

Fullerton Basic Skills and ESL by Age

| Age | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| 19 \& Under | 2503 | 62\% | 1759 | 56\% | 2564 | 61\% | 1561 | 53\% | 2512 | 60\% | 1323 | 51\% |
| 20-24 | 1078 | 56\% | 1028 | 52\% | 973 | 57\% | 1016 | 53\% | 921 | 57\% | 947 | 54\% |
| 25-29 | 334 | 64\% | 341 | 60\% | 283 | 62\% | 279 | 58\% | 290 | 64\% | 340 | 62\% |
| 30-39 | 221 | 65\% | 236 | 64\% | 211 | 64\% | 220 | 65\% | 220 | 71\% | 271 | 67\% |
| 40 \& Over | 196 | 65\% | 191 | 65\% | 187 | 68\% | 203 | 67\% | 154 | 64\% | 168 | 67\% |

Fullerton Other by Age

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| 19 \& Under | 547 | 56\% | 1324 | 70\% | 665 | 58\% | 1512 | 73\% | 612 | 50\% | 1452 | 69\% |
| 20-24 | 746 | 62\% | 681 | 58\% | 760 | 60\% | 693 | 60\% | 696 | 57\% | 648 | 53\% |
| 25-29 | 302 | 69\% | 256 | 64\% | 311 | 70\% | 298 | 67\% | 325 | 63\% | 299 | 62\% |
| 30-39 | 198 | 70\% | 207 | 70\% | 216 | 71\% | 244 | 75\% | 226 | 76\% | 217 | 69\% |
| 40 \& Over | 256 | 80\% | 226 | 72\% | 205 | 78\% | 207 | 78\% | 170 | 74\% | 172 | 71\% |

Fullerton Transfer by Gender

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| F | 20332 | 68\% | 19913 | 67\% | 20481 | 67\% | 20026 | 68\% | 20739 | 69\% | 20647 | 70\% |
| M | 18720 | 65\% | 18459 | 64\% | 18599 | 64\% | 18165 | 65\% | 18479 | 66\% | 18103 | 67\% |
| N | 570 | 70\% | 506 | 68\% | 611 | 70\% | 681 | 72\% | 700 | 70\% | 702 | 69\% |

Fullerton Career \& Technical (CTE) by Gender

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| F | 442 | 78\% | 460 | 75\% | 430 | 76\% | 484 | 80\% | 459 | 79\% | 501 | 81\% |
| M | 394 | 74\% | 433 | 73\% | 379 | 73\% | 448 | 77\% | 382 | 71\% | 432 | 73\% |
| N | 15 | 94\% | 22 | 88\% | 17 | 90\% | 17 | 71\% | 10 | 71\% | 11 | 55\% |

Fullerton Basic Skill and ESL by Gender

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| F | 2476 | 65\% | 1935 | 59\% | 2372 | 64\% | 1813 | 57\% | 2299 | 64\% | 1723 | 58\% |
| M | 1789 | 56\% | 1568 | 53\% | 1780 | 57\% | 1424 | 52\% | 1720 | 57\% | 1268 | 51\% |
| N | 67 | 63\% | 52 | 58\% | 66 | 60\% | 42 | 49\% | 78 | 56\% | 57 | 51\% |

Fullerton Other by Gender

| Gender | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| F | 816 | 61\% | 1175 | 65\% | 918 | 63\% | 1320 | 69\% | 805 | 56\% | 1211 | 61\% |
| M | 1198 | 65\% | 1470 | 67\% | 1206 | 64\% | 1558 | 69\% | 1193 | 60\% | 1509 | 66\% |
| N | 35 | 66\% | 49 | 68\% | 33 | 57\% | 76 | 82\% | 31 | 63\% | 68 | 66\% |

Fullerton Transfer by Race/Ethnicity

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| African American | 1468 | 53\% | 1309 | 50\% | 1383 | 54\% | 1356 | 58\% | 1348 | 56\% | 1317 | 55\% |
| American Indian | 300 | 67\% | 287 | 66\% | 302 | 65\% | 266 | 66\% | 228 | 59\% | 232 | 63\% |
| Asian | 5776 | 73\% | 5739 | 71\% | 5557 | 71\% | 5662 | 72\% | 5993 | 75\% | 6145 | 75\% |
| Filipino | 1626 | 70\% | 1554 | 69\% | 1663 | 71\% | 1581 | 72\% | 1664 | 73\% | 1655 | 71\% |
| Hispanic | 19037 | 64\% | 18915 | 63\% | 19656 | 63\% | 19443 | 64\% | 20562 | 65\% | 20398 | 66\% |
| Pacific Islander | 141 | 56\% | 135 | 56\% | 179 | 59\% | 191 | 61\% | 183 | 60\% | 204 | 63\% |
| Unknown | 631 | 54\% | 621 | 51\% | 580 | 49\% | 491 | 67\% | 437 | 71\% | 430 | 69\% |
| White Non-Hispanic | 10651 | 71\% | 10325 | 71\% | 10376 | 72\% | 9882 | 72\% | 9504 | 71\% | 9074 | 73\% |

Fullerton Career \& Technical (CTE) by Race/Ethnicity

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| African American | 37 | 61\% | 41 | 72\% | 40 | 76\% | 33 | 73\% | 29 | 71\% | 30 | 75\% |
| American Indian | 4 | 100\% | 11 | 92\% | 18 | 95\% | 8 | 57\% | 3 | 30\% | 4 | 80\% |
| Asian | 106 | 79\% | 108 | 77\% | 100 | 69\% | 116 | 80\% | 97 | 75\% | 114 | 76\% |
| Filipino | 34 | 85\% | 30 | 83\% | 21 | 72\% | 30 | 83\% | 15 | 79\% | 14 | 82\% |
| Hispanic | 384 | 73\% | 414 | 71\% | 397 | 75\% | 443 | 77\% | 409 | 73\% | 458 | 74\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0 |  | 1 | 50\% | 3 | 75\% | 3 | 100\% | 3 | 60\% | 9 | 90\% |
| Unknown | 15 | 88\% | 18 | 49\% | 19 | 70\% | 27 | 87\% | 13 | 87\% | 14 | 74\% |
| White Non-Hispanic | 271 | 81\% | 292 | 79\% | 228 | 76\% | 289 | 81\% | 282 | 80\% | 301 | 83\% |

Fullerton Basic Skills \& ESL by Race/Ethnicity

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| African American | 138 | 48\% | 136 | 46\% | 135 | 47\% | 134 | 48\% | 103 | 45\% | 95 | 46\% |
| Americ an Indian | 26 | 77\% | 24 | 57\% | 19 | 45\% | 16 | 36\% | 15 | 41\% | 10 | 56\% |
| Asian | 502 | 69\% | 456 | 68\% | 504 | 70\% | 449 | 71\% | 558 | 75\% | 484 | 74\% |
| Filipino | 110 | 66\% | 103 | 62\% | 121 | 67\% | 91 | 54\% | 109 | 69\% | 72 | 61\% |
| Hispanic | 2675 | 59\% | 2108 | 53\% | 2627 | 58\% | 1984 | 52\% | 2627 | 58\% | 1831 | 51\% |
| Pacific Islander | 18 | 49\% | 28 | 48\% | 18 | 53\% | 11 | 33\% | 10 | 48\% | 25 | 54\% |
| Unknown | 40 | 58\% | 36 | 56\% | 38 | 73\% | 37 | 64\% | 29 | 67\% | 28 | 70\% |
| White Non-Hispanic | 823 | 65\% | 664 | 63\% | 756 | 67\% | 557 | 59\% | 646 | 61\% | 504 | 58\% |

Fullerton Other by Race/Ethnicity

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| African American | 63 | 48\% | 79 | 50\% | 69 | 63\% | 71 | 59\% | 34 | 31\% | 69 | 50\% |
| Americ an Indian | 24 | 67\% | 35 | 69\% | 28 | 76\% | 22 | 73\% | 10 | 42\% | 28 | 76\% |
| Asian | 194 | 69\% | 193 | 64\% | 195 | 68\% | 239 | 69\% | 186 | 65\% | 224 | 70\% |
| Filipino | 56 | 66\% | 80 | 77\% | 57 | 69\% | 87 | 69\% | 58 | 61\% | 79 | 73\% |
| Hispanic | 1006 | 61\% | 1551 | 65\% | 1172 | 60\% | 1744 | 69\% | 1168 | 55\% | 1666 | 61\% |
| Pacific Islander | 3 | 43\% | 14 | 82\% | 11 | 69\% | 9 | 75\% | 13 | 52\% | 11 | 58\% |
| Unknown | 39 | 55\% | 34 | 55\% | 21 | 43\% | 27 | 59\% | 20 | 63\% | 25 | 60\% |
| White Non-Hispanic | 664 | 71\% | 708 | 70\% | 604 | 69\% | 755 | 72\% | 540 | 66\% | 686 | 70\% |

Fullerton Transfer by Student Ed Goal

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Ed Goals | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Certific ate seeking | 443 | 68\% | 482 | 72\% | 525 | 62\% | 558 | 71\% | 540 | 70\% | 506 | 68\% |
| Degree seeking | 1930 | 64\% | 1995 | 62\% | 2005 | 63\% | 1972 | 65\% | 1890 | 67\% | 1969 | 65\% |
| Other | 5722 | 64\% | 5153 | 62\% | 5336 | 63\% | 4985 | 67\% | 5238 | 67\% | 5057 | 68\% |
| Transfer seeking | 31535 | 67\% | 31255 | 66\% | 31830 | 66\% | 31357 | 67\% | 32251 | 68\% | 31923 | 69\% |

Fullerton Career \& Technical (CTE) by Student Ed Goal

| Student Ed Goals | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Certific ate seeking | 112 | 83\% | 127 | 80\% | 100 | 86\% | 121 | 85\% | 119 | 83\% | 119 | 83\% |
| Degree seeking | 126 | 79\% | 146 | 82\% | 132 | 75\% | 132 | 80\% | 134 | 82\% | 154 | 78\% |
| Other | 293 | 80\% | 308 | 76\% | 297 | 78\% | 357 | 85\% | 276 | 75\% | 309 | 82\% |
| Transfer seeking | 320 | 70\% | 334 | 67\% | 297 | 69\% | 339 | 71\% | 322 | 71\% | 362 | 71\% |

Fullerton Basic Skills \& ESL by Student Ed Goal

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
| Student Ed Goals | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Certific ate seeking | 10 | 35\% | 16 | 52\% | 22 | 49\% | 28 | 62\% | 34 | 67\% | 33 | 59\% |
| Degree seeking | 257 | 58\% | 254 | 54\% | 274 | 56\% | 249 | 55\% | 241 | 57\% | 206 | 52\% |
| Other | 821 | 62\% | 673 | 58\% | 709 | 62\% | 591 | 56\% | 602 | 57\% | 504 | 56\% |
| Transfer seeking | 3244 | 61\% | 2612 | 56\% | 3213 | 61\% | 2411 | 54\% | 3220 | 61\% | 2306 | 55\% |

## Fullerton Other by Student Ed Goal

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
| Student Ed Goals | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Certific ate seeking | 114 | 73\% | 155 | 83\% | 130 | 79\% | 186 | 81\% | 126 | 74\% | 156 | 76\% |
| Degree seeking | 190 | 68\% | 219 | 67\% | 219 | 68\% | 232 | 69\% | 232 | 71\% | 202 | 67\% |
| Other | 483 | 72\% | 622 | 70\% | 508 | 70\% | 645 | 76\% | 448 | 66\% | 545 | 72\% |
| Transfer seeking | 1262 | 60\% | 1698 | 64\% | 1300 | 59\% | 1891 | 67\% | 1223 | 53\% | 1885 | 61\% |

Fullerton Transfer by Socioeconomic Status

|  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socioeconomic status | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| FA | 24503 | 64\% | 23917 | 63\% | 25090 | 63\% | 24579 | 65\% | 25184 | 66\% | 24718 | 66\% |
| No FA | 15127 | 71\% | 14968 | 71\% | 14606 | 71\% | 14293 | 71\% | 14735 | 70\% | 14737 | 73\% |

Fullerton Career \& Technical (CTE) by Socioeconomic Status

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socioeconomic status | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| FA | 511 | 74\% | 571 | 71\% | 540 | 74\% | 641 | 78\% | 498 | 73\% | 566 | 73\% |
| No FA | 340 | 80\% | 344 | 80\% | 286 | 76\% | 308 | 80\% | 353 | 78\% | 378 | 83\% |

Fullerton Basic Skills \& ESL by Socioeconomic Status

| Socioeconomic status | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| FA | 3037 | 59\% | 2487 | 54\% | 3011 | 59\% | 2393 | 53\% | 2915 | 59\% | 2146 | 53\% |
| No FA | 1295 | 65\% | 1068 | 61\% | 1207 | 66\% | 886 | 58\% | 1182 | 63\% | 903 | 61\% |

Fullerton Other by Socioeconomic Status

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socioeconomic status | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  | Fall 2015 |  | Spring 2016 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| FA | 1252 | 62\% | 1214 | 59\% | 1324 | 61\% | 1327 | 62\% | 1268 | 56\% | 1232 | 54\% |
| No FA | 797 | 67\% | 1480 | 74\% | 833 | 67\% | 1627 | 76\% | 761 | 61\% | 1556 | 75\% |

Fullerton Transfer by Distance Ed/On Campus

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
| Distance Ed / On Campus | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Distance Ed | 15403 | 66\% | 19631 | 65\% | 19226 | 63\% | 19632 | 62\% | 20897 | 63\% | 21314 | 64\% |
| On Campus | 19314 | 71\% | 16996 | 70\% | 20404 | 70\% | 19253 | 70\% | 18799 | 69\% | 17557 | 70\% |

Fullerton Career \& Technical (CTE) by Distance Ed/On Campus

| Distance Ed/ On Campus | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Distance Ed | 213 | 61\% | 163 | 61\% | 321 | 69\% | 349 | 67\% | 209 | 60\% | 251 | 70\% |
| On Campus | 651 | 82\% | 665 | 81\% | 530 | 81\% | 566 | 80\% | 617 | 82\% | 698 | 82\% |

Fullerton Basic Skills \& ESL by Distance Ed/On Campus

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance Ed/ On Campus | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Distance Ed | 1173 | 50\% | 1377 | 54\% | 1447 | 50\% | 1202 | 46\% | 1243 | 46\% | 1011 | 42\% |
| On Campus | 2400 | 76\% | 2096 | 68\% | 2885 | 68\% | 2353 | 64\% | 2975 | 70\% | 2268 | 63\% |

Fullerton Other by Distance Ed/On Campus

| Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2012 |  | Spring 2013 |  | Fall 2013 |  | Spring 2014 |  | Fall 2014 |  | Spring 2015 |  |
| Distance Ed / On Campus | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Distance Ed | 749 | 55\% | 1603 | 67\% | 907 | 55\% | 1496 | 62\% | 948 | 54\% | 1792 | 66\% |
| On Campus | 1000 | 71\% | 1017 | 75\% | 1142 | 73\% | 1198 | 71\% | 1209 | 73\% | 1162 | 75\% |

Fullerton College Persistence Rate by Student Ed Goals

| Fall-to-Spring Persistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Ed Goals | Fall 2013 <br> First time Cohort | Count | Percent | Fall 2014 <br> First time Cohort | Count | Percent | Fall 2015 <br> First time Cohort | Count | Percent |
| Certific ate seeking | 39 | 25 | 64\% | 95 | 50 | 52\% | 52 | 36 | 69\% |
| Degree seeking | 185 | 122 | 65\% | 185 | 119 | 64\% | 139 | 87 | 62\% |
| Other | 811 | 506 | 62\% | 699 | 425 | 60\% | 557 | 378 | 67\% |
| Transfer seeking | 2822 | 2313 | 81\% | 2692 | 2166 | 80\% | 2761 | 2249 | 81\% |


| Student Ed Goals | Fall 2013 <br> First time cohort | Count | Percent | Fall 2014 <br> First time cohort | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Certificate seeking | 39 | 13 | 33\% | 95 | 31 | 32\% |
| Degree seeking | 185 | 94 | 50\% | 185 | 83 | 44\% |
| Other | 811 | 381 | 46\% | 699 | 325 | 46\% |
| Transfer seeking | 2822 | 1875 | 66\% | 2692 | 1778 | 66\% |

Fullerton College Persistence Rate by Gender

| Fall-to-Spring Persistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Fall 2013 <br> First time Cohort | Count | Percent | Fall 2014 <br> First time Cohort | Count | Percent | Fall 2015 <br> First time Cohort | Count | Percent |
| F | 1838 | 1461 | 79\% | 1723 | 1357 | 78\% | 1649 | 1327 | 80\% |
| M | 1978 | 1476 | 74\% | 1878 | 1352 | 71\% | 1789 | 1370 | 76\% |
| N | 41 | 29 | 70\% | 70 | 51 | 72\% | 71 | 53 | 74\% |


| Gender | Fall 2013 <br> First time cohort | Count | Percent | Fall 2014 <br> First time cohort | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | 1838 | 1170 | 63\% | 1723 | 1097 | 63\% |
| M | 1978 | 1167 | 58\% | 1878 | 1076 | 57\% |
| N | 41 | 26 | 63\% | 70 | 44 | 62\% |

Fullerton College Persistence Rate by Age

| Fall-to-Spring Persistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Fall 2013 |  |  | Fall 2014 |  |  | Fall 2015 |  |  |
|  | First time Cohort | Count | Percent | First time Cohort | Count | Percent | First time Cohort | Count | Percent |
| 19 \& Under | 3098 | 2539 | 81\% | 2863 | 2347 | 81\% | 2914 | 2391 | 82\% |
| 20-24 | 422 | 266 | 63\% | 450 | 265 | 58\% | 385 | 235 | 61\% |
| 25-29 | 128 | 67 | 52\% | 121 | 66 | 54\% | 95 | 57 | 60\% |
| 30-39 | 103 | 52 | 50\% | 122 | 50 | 40\% | 63 | 36 | 57\% |
| 40 \& Over | 106 | 42 | 39\% | 115 | 32 | 2 | 52 | 31 | 59\% |


| Age | Fall 2013 <br> First time cohort | Count | Percent | Fall 2014 <br> First time cohort | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 \& Under | 3098 | 2083 | 67\% | 2863 | 1950 | 68\% |
| 20-24 | 422 | 183 | 43\% | 450 | 174 | 38\% |
| 25-29 | 128 | 44 | 34\% | 121 | 44 | 36\% |
| 30-39 | 103 | 29 | 20 \% | 122 | 30 | 248 |
| 40 \& Over | 106 | 24 |  | 115 | 19 | 16.4 |

Fullerton College Persistence Rate by Race/Ethnicity

| Fall-to-Spring Persistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2013 <br> First time Cohort | Count | Percent | Fall 2014 <br> First time Cohort | Count | Percent | Fall 2015 <br> First time Cohort | Count | Percent |
| African American | 224 | 125 | 55\% | 196 | 117 | 59\% | 173 | 109 | 63\% |
| American Indian | 21 | 17 | 80\% | 27 | 21 | 77\% | 21 | 16 | 76\% |
| Asian | 404 | 307 | 75\% | 367 | 293 | 79\% | 430 | 352 | 81\% |
| Filipino | 132 | 114 | 86\% | 120 | 107 | 89\% | 109 | 96 | 88\% |
| Hispanic | 2200 | 1754 | 79\% | 2089 | 1633 | 78\% | 2045 | 1629 | 79\% |
| Pacific Islander | 26 | 19 | 73\% | 16 | 10 | 62\% | 22 | 16 | 72\% |
| Unknown | 86 | 21 | 24\% | 148 | 27 | $1 \times 14$ | 24 | 18 | 75\% |
| White Non-Hispanic | 764 | 609 | 79\% | 708 | 552 | 77\% | 685 | 514 | 75\% |


| Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2013 |  |  | Fall 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First time cohort | Count | Percent | First time cohort | Count | Percent |
| African American | 224 | 78 | 34\% | 196 | 72 | 36\% |
| Americ an Indian | 21 | 11 | 52\% | 27 | 17 | 62\% |
| Asian | 404 | 283 | 70\% | 367 | 260 | 70\% |
| Filipino | 132 | 93 | 70\% | 120 | 8.4 | 70\% |
| Hispanic | 2200 | 1396 | 63\% | 2089 | 1316 | 62\% |
| Pacific Islander | 26 | 12 | 46\% | 16 | 6 | 37\% |
| Unknown | 86 | 13 | 1\%. | 148 | 20 | 134 |
| White Non-Hispanic | 76.4 | 477 | 62\% | 708 | 442 | 62\% |

Fullerton College Persistence Rate by Socioeconomic Status


| Socioeconomic status | Fall 2013 <br> First time cohort | Count | Percent | Fall 2014 <br> First time cohort | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FA | 2640 | 1577 | 59\% | 2553 | 1491 | 58\% |
| No FA | 1217 | 786 | 64\% | 1118 | 726 | 64\% |

Fullerton College Persistence Rate by Distance Ed/On Campus

| Fall-to-Spring Persistance |
| :--- |


|  | Fall 2013 |  |  | Fall 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance Ed/ On Campus | First time cohort | Count | Percent | First time cohort | Count | Percent |
| Distance Ed | 473 | 212 | 44\% | 480 | 215 | 44\% |
| On Campus | 3384 | 2151 | 63\% | 3191 | 2002 | 62\% |

## Fullerton College Fact Book 2016

## Student Information

## Citizenship

| Citizenship <br> Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Citizenship | Students | Percent |
| U.S. Citizen | 22,566 | $89.2 \%$ |
| Permanent Resident | 1,247 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Other Status | 1,077 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Student Visa (F-1 or M-1 visa) | 310 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Temporary Resident | 55 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Refugee/Asylee | 41 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Unknown | 6 | $<0.1 \%$ |
| Total | 25,305 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Residence Status

| Residence <br> Fall 2015 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Residence | Students | Percent |  |
| California | 22,130 | $87.5 \%$ |  |
| Out of State | 1,280 | $5.1 \%$ |  |
| Foreign | 280 | $1.1 \%$ |  |
| Unknown | 1,615 | $6.4 \%$ |  |
| Total | 25,305 | $100.0 \%$ |  |

## Educational Status

| Educational Status Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Educational Status | Students | Percent |
| Not a High School Graduate | 281 | $1.1 \%$ |
| High School Student Concurrently <br> Enrolled | 173 | $0.7 \%$ |
| High School Graduate | 19,585 | $77.4 \%$ |
| Currently Enrolled in Adult School | 86 | $0.3 \%$ |
| G.E.D./High School Equivalency | 851 | $3.4 \%$ |
| California High School Proficiency <br> Certificate | 480 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Foreign High School Diploma or <br> Certificate | 494 | $2.0 \%$ |
| AA Degree | 567 | $2.2 \%$ |
| BA Degree or Higher | 1,120 | $4.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | 1,668 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Total | 25,305 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Enrollment Status

| Enrollment Status <br> Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Enrollment Status | Students | Percent |
| Continuing Student | 16,139 | $63.8 \%$ |
| First-time student | 3,515 | $13.9 \%$ |
| First-time transfer student | 2,242 | $8.9 \%$ |
| Returning Students | 3,086 | $12.2 \%$ |
| Returning transfer student | 147 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Not Applicable | 176 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Total | 25,305 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Day/Evening Status

| Day/Evening Status <br> Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Day/Evening | Student | Percent |
| Day | 19,573 | $77.3 \%$ |
| Evening | 3,624 | $14.3 \%$ |
| Online/Hybrid/Teleweb | 1,740 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Weekend | 249 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | 119 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total | 25,305 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Educational Goals

| Educational Goal <br> Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Educational Goal | Students | Percent |
| Obtain an associate degree and transfer to a four-year institution | 13,632 | 53.9\% |
| Transfer to a four-year institution without an associate degree | 3,897 | 15.4\% |
| Undecided on goal | 2,168 | 8.6\% |
| Obtain a two year associate's degree without transfer | 1,104 | 4.4\% |
| University student taking courses to meet university requirements | 952 | 3.8\% |
| Uncollected/Unreported | 636 | 2.5\% |
| Earn a vocational certificate without transfer | 617 | 2.4\% |
| Prepare for a new career (acquire job skills) | 613 | 2.4\% |
| Discover/formulate career interests, plans, goals | 431 | 1.7\% |
| Educational development (intellectual, cultural) | 371 | 1.5\% |
| Advance in current job/career (update job skills) | 316 | 1.2\% |
| Improve basic skills in English, reading or math | 248 | 1.0\% |
| Maintain certificate or license (e.g. Nursing, Real Estate) | 212 | 0.8\% |
| Complete credits for high school diploma or GED | 91 | 0.4\% |
| Move from noncredit coursework to credit coursework | 17 | 0.1\% |
| Total | 25,305 | 100.0\% |

## Units Attempted

## Term Units Attempted, Fall 2015



- 0 Units Only
- 0.1-2.9
- 3.0-5.9
- 6.0-8.9
- 9.0-11.9
- 12.0-14.9
- 15 or more

| Units Attempted <br> Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Units Attempted | Students | Percent |
| 0 Units Only | 26 | $0.1 \%$ |
| $0.1-2.9$ | 683 | $2.7 \%$ |
| $3.0-5.9$ | 5,858 | $23.1 \%$ |
| $6.0-8.9$ | 5,126 | $20.3 \%$ |
| $9.0-11.9$ | 4,691 | $18.5 \%$ |
| $12.0-14.9$ | 6,652 | $26.3 \%$ |
| 15 or more | 2,269 | $9.0 \%$ |
| Total | 25,305 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Academic Standing

| Academic Standing <br> Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Academic Standing | Students | Percent |
| Academic Good Standing | 19,175 | $75.8 \%$ |
| Progress Probation | 1,046 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Academic Probation | 3,262 | $12.9 \%$ |
| Both Progress and Academic Probation | 200 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Progress Dismissal/Disqualification | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Academic Dismissal/Disqualification | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Both Progress and Academic | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Dismissal/Disqualification | 1,622 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | 25305 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |

## Last High School Attended



| Last High School Attended Fall 2015 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District/High School | Student | District/High School | Students |
| Anaheim Union HSD | 4,022 | Fullerton Joint HSD | 4,894 |
| Anaheim | 1,042 | Buena Park | 559 |
| Cypress | 154 | Fullerton | 993 |
| Gilbert Continuation | 84 | La Harba | 843 |
| Katella | 776 | La Vista Continuation | 244 |
| Kennedy | 281 | Sonora | 723 |
| Loara | 48 | Sunny Hills | 871 |
| Magnolia | 362 | Troy | 661 |
| Savanna | 538 | Placentia-Yorba Linda USD | 1,872 |
| Western | 297 | El Camino Real Continuation | 89 |
| Brea-Olinda USD | 655 | El Dorado | 647 |
| Brea-Olinda | 611 | Esperanza | 432 |
| Brea Canyon Continuation | 44 | Valenica | 704 |

High School Graduation Year

| High School Graduation Year <br> Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Students | Percent |
| $1945-2000$ | 1,142 | $4.5 \%$ |
| $2001-2009$ | 3,976 | $15.7 \%$ |
| 2010 | 1,339 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 2011 | 1,842 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 2012 | 2,590 | $10.2 \%$ |
| 2013 | 3,334 | $13.2 \%$ |
| 2014 | 3,865 | $15.3 \%$ |
| 2015 | 3,698 | $14.6 \%$ |
| Cert CA High School Profncy | 510 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Foreign Diploma | 568 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Not a Graduate | 570 | $2.3 \%$ |
| College Degree | 1,824 | $7.2 \%$ |
| Unreported | 47 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 25,305 | $4.5 \%$ |

## Residence by City (Orange County)

| Residence City Fall 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| City | Students |
| Aliso Viejo | 10 |
| Anaheim | 5,587 |
| Brea | 939 |
| Buena Park | 1,057 |
| Corona Del Mar | 1 |
| Costa Mesa | 40 |
| Cypress | 174 |
| Dana Point | 1 |
| Foothill Ranch | 2 |
| Fountain Valley | 8 |
| Fullerton | 4,111 |
| Garden Grove | 551 |
| Huntington Beach | 34 |
| Irvine | 82 |
| La Habra | 1,659 |
| La Palma | 73 |
| Ladera Ranch | 3 |
| Laguna Beach | 5 |
| Laguna Hills | 8 |
| Laguna Niguel | 3 |
| Lake Forest | 22 |
| Midway City | 6 |
| Mission Viejo | 21 |
| Newport Beach | 9 |
| Orange | 489 |
| Placentia | 1,137 |
| Rancho Santa Margarita | 26 |
| San Clemente | 7 |
| San Juan Capistrano | 9 |
| Santa Ana | 337 |
| Stanton | 175 |
| Trabuco Canyon | 5 |
| Tustin | 72 |
| Villa Park | 16 |
| Westminster | 61 |
| Yorba Linda | 887 |

## Administration, Faculty, \& Staff Information

Employees By category
Employees by Category, Fall 2015


| Employees by Category <br> Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Category | Number | Percent |
| Ed. Admin. | 17 | $1.20 \%$ |
| Classified Staff | 291 | $20.70 \%$ |
| Adjunct Faculty | 710 | $54.90 \%$ |
| Full-Time (Tenured) Faculty | 239 | $20.10 \%$ |
| Full-Time (Tenure Track) Faculty | 68 | $3.10 \%$ |
| Total | 1,325 | $100.0 \%$ |

Employees Gender by Category, Fall 2015


| Employees by Category by Gender <br> Fall 2015 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Category | Female | Male | Percent <br> Female | Percent <br> Male |
| Ed. Admin. | 7 | 10 | $41 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Classified | 165 | 126 | $57 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Adjunct Faculty | 372 | 338 | $52 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Full-Time (Tenured) Faculty | 117 | 122 | $49 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Full-Time (Tenure Track) Faculty | 42 | 26 | $62 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Total | 703 | 622 | $53 \%$ | $47 \%$ |

## Employees Race/Ethnicity by category

## Employees Race/Ethnicity, Fall 2015



Employees Race/Ethnicity by category (Percent)

| Employees by Category by Race/Ethnicity Fall 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & . \frac{U}{त} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{I} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ¢ | $\frac{5}{3}$ 0 $\frac{5}{5}$ $\frac{1}{5}$ |
| Admin. | 12\% | 6\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 65\% | 0\% |
| Classified | 12\% | 5\% | 33\% | 1\% | 4\% | 33\% | 12\% |
| Adjunct Faculty | 13\% | 2\% | 19\% | 0\% | 2\% | 50\% | 13\% |
| Tenured Faculty | 9\% | 3\% | 10\% | 2\% | 4\% | 63\% | 9\% |
| Tenure-Track Faculty | 9\% | 4\% | 31\% | 0\% | 1\% | 49\% | 6\% |
| Total | 12\% | 3\% | 21\% | 1\% | 3\% | 49\% | 11\% |

## Occupational Activity

Employees Occupational Activity, Fall 2015


| Employees by Occupational Activity |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fall 2015 |  |  |
| Occupational Activity | Number | Percent |
| Executive, Administrative, Managerial | 31 | $2.34 \%$ |
| Faculty | 1017 | $76.75 \%$ |
| Professional (Non-Faculty) | 1 | $0.08 \%$ |
| Clerical/Secretarial | 93 | $7.02 \%$ |
| Technical/Paraprofessional | 115 | $8.68 \%$ |
| Skilled Crafts | 6 | $0.45 \%$ |
| Service/Maintenance | 62 | $4.68 \%$ |
| Total | 1,325 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Employees gender by Occupational Activity

Employees Gender by Occupational Activity, Fall 2015


| Employees by Occupational Activity by Gender <br> Fall 2015 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupational Activity | Female | Percent | Male | Percent |
| Exec., Admin., Mgr. | 11 | $35.48 \%$ | 20 | $64.52 \%$ |
| Faculty | 531 | $52.21 \%$ | 486 | $47.79 \%$ |
| Professional (Non-Faculty) | 1 | $100.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Clerical/Sec. | 85 | $91.40 \%$ | 8 | $8.60 \%$ |
| Technical/Paraprof. | 64 | $55.65 \%$ | 51 | $44.35 \%$ |
| Skilled Crafts | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 6 | $100.00 \%$ |
| Service/Maintenance | 11 | $17.74 \%$ | 51 | $82.26 \%$ |
| Total | 703 | $53.06 \%$ | 622 | $46.94 \%$ |

## Employees Race/Ethnicity by Occupational Activity

| Employees by Occupational Activity by Race/Ethnicity Fall 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational Activity |  | - <br> 苟 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & . \frac{U}{त} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{I} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\#}{ \pm}$ | $\frac{5}{3}$ $\substack{\text { ¢ } \\ 5 \\ 5}$ |
| Executive, Admin, Managerial | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 |
| Faculty | 121 | 26 | 181 | 7 | 27 | 540 | 115 |
| Professional (Non-Faculty) |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Clerical/Secretarial | 8 | 5 | 35 | 1 | 4 | 36 | 4 |
| Technical/Paraprofessional | 26 | 6 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 8 |
| Skilled Crafts | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Service/Maintenance | 0 | 2 | 23 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 22 |
| Total | 158 | 41 | 280 | 9 | 39 | 647 | 151 |

Employees Race/Ethnicity by Occupational Activity (Percent)

| Employees by Occupational Activity by Race/Ethnicity Fall 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational Activity |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{C} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{ \pm}$ | $\frac{5}{3}$ <br> 0 <br> $\frac{\square}{5}$ <br> 5 |
| Executive, Admin, Managerial | 10\% | 6\% | 19\% | 0\% | 6\% | 58\% | 0\% |
| Faculty | 12\% | 3\% | 18\% | 1\% | 3\% | 53\% | 11\% |
| Professional (Non-Faculty) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Clerical/Secretarial | 9\% | 5\% | 38\% | 1\% | 4\% | 39\% | 4\% |
| Technical/Paraprofessional | 23\% | 5\% | 29\% | 0\% | 2\% | 35\% | 7\% |
| Skilled Crafts | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Service/Maintenance | 0\% | 3\% | 37\% | 2\% | 5\% | 18\% | 35\% |
| Total | 12\% | 3\% | 21\% | 1\% | 3\% | 49\% | 11\% |

## Full-Time Faculty by Division

Full-Time Faculty by Division, Fall 2015

| Full Time Faculty by Division Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Number | Percent |
| Business \& CIS | 21 | 6.84\% |
| Counseling | 36 | 11.73\% |
| Fine Arts | 29 | 9.45\% |
| Humanities | 62 | 20.20\% |
| Library Tech. | 6 | 1.95\% |
| Math \& Comp. Sci. | 29 | 9.45\% |
| Natural Sci. | 38 | 12.38\% |
| Physical Ed. | 19 | 6.19\% |
| Social Sci. | 34 | 11.07\% |
| Tech. \& Engineering | 33 | 10.75\% |
| Total | 307 | 100.0\% |



## Full-Time Faculty Gender by Division

| Full Time Faculty by Division by Gender     <br> Fall 2015     |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Divion | Female | Percent | Male | Percent |
| Business and Computer Information <br> Systems | 12 | $57 \%$ | 9 | $43 \%$ |
| Counseling | 26 | $72 \%$ | 10 | $28 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | 9 | $31 \%$ | 20 | $69 \%$ |
| Humanities | 37 | $60 \%$ | 25 | $40 \%$ |
| Library Technology | 4 | $67 \%$ | 2 | $33 \%$ |
| Mathematics and Computer Science | 12 | $41 \%$ | 17 | $59 \%$ |
| Natural Sciences | 16 | $42 \%$ | 22 | $58 \%$ |
| Physical Education | 9 | $47 \%$ | 10 | $53 \%$ |
| Social Sciences | 21 | $62 \%$ | 13 | $38 \%$ |
| Technology and Engineering | 13 | $39 \%$ | 20 | $61 \%$ |
| Total | 159 | $52 \%$ | 148 | $48 \%$ |

## Full-Time Faculty Race/Ethnicity by Division

| Full Time Faculty by Division by Race/Ethnicity Fall 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{u}{4} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\breve{0}}{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \cong \\ & \sum_{0}^{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\#}{ \pm}$ |  |
| Business and CIS | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| Counseling | 4 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 3 |
| Fine Arts | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 1 |
| Humanities | 5 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 7 |
| Library Technology | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Mathematics and Computer Science | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 |
| Natural Sciences | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 4 |
| Physical Education | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 3 |
| Social Sciences | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 2 |
| Technology and Engineering | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 4 |
| Total | 28 | 10 | 46 | 4 | 10 | 183 | 26 |

## Full-Time Faculty Race/Ethnicity, Fall 2015



## Adjunct Faculty, Fall 2015

## Adjunct Faculty by Division

| Adjunct Faculty by Division <br> Fall 2015 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Division | Number | Percent |
| Business \& CIS | 38 | $5.35 \%$ |
| Counseling | 50 | $7.04 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | 87 | $12.25 \%$ |
| Humanities | 173 | $24.37 \%$ |
| Library Tech. | 4 | $0.56 \%$ |
| Math \& Comp. Sci. | 68 | $9.58 \%$ |
| Natural Sci. | 33 | $4.65 \%$ |
| Physical Ed. | 46 | $6.48 \%$ |
| Social Sci. | 88 | $12.39 \%$ |
| Tech. \& Engineering | 123 | $17.32 \%$ |
| Total | 710 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Adjunct Faculty Gender by Division

| Adjunct Faculty by Division by Gender Fall 2015 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Female | Percent | Male | Percent |
| Business and Computer Information Systems | 12 | 32\% | 26 | 68\% |
| Counseling | 39 | 78\% | 11 | 22\% |
| Fine Arts | 40 | 46\% | 47 | 54\% |
| Humanities | 119 | 69\% | 54 | 31\% |
| Library Technology | 3 | 75\% | 1 | 25\% |
| Mathematics and Computer Science | 32 | 47\% | 36 | 53\% |
| Natural Sciences | 17 | 52\% | 16 | 48\% |
| Physical Education | 21 | 46\% | 25 | 54\% |
| Social Sciences | 49 | 56\% | 39 | 44\% |
| Technology and Engineering | 40 | 33\% | 83 | 67\% |
| Total | 372 | 52\% | 338 | 48\% |

## AdJunct Faculty Race/Ethnicity by Division

| Adjunct Faculty by Division by Race/Ethnicity Fall 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division |  | 丷 ¢ ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{U} \\ & \sqrt{0} \\ & 0 \underline{0} \\ & \underline{I} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{N}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ $\substack{\text { c } \\ \text { c }}$ |
| Business and CIS | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 4 |
| Counseling | 7 | 3 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 |
| Fine Arts | 8 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 56 | 7 |
| Humanities | 20 | 2 | 31 | 1 | 8 | 94 | 17 |
| Library Technology | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |
| Mathematics and Computer Science | 26 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 9 |
| Natural Sciences | 4 | 0 | 9 |  |  | 19 | 1 |
| Physical Education | 5 | 2 | 10 | 1 |  | 26 | 2 |
| Social Sciences | 8 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 52 | 11 |
| Technology and Engineering | 11 | 2 | 24 | 1 | 2 | 49 | 34 |
| Total | 93 | 16 | 135 | 3 | 17 | 357 | 89 |



## Fullerton College Environmental Scan 2016

## Environmental Scan of the Fullerton College Community

This report is designed to provide a comprehensive look at the external environment impacting Fullerton College. It summarizes the demographic, economic and educational changes at the state and national levels, in general, and in Orange County and the cities served by Fullerton College, more specifically, that are shaping the future for the college.

The Environmental Scan of the Fullerton College community and Orange County also serves as a companion piece to the 2016 Fullerton College Fact Book and the 2016 Fullerton College Institutional Effectiveness Report, comprehensive internal overviews that describes the college's faculty, staff and students and how effectively students are being served by the college. Together, these two documents provide important information about the changing forces affecting Fullerton College as it moves forward in the 21st century. By monitoring these changes, Fullerton College will be in a better position to plan a direction that will best serve its students.

## Part I Demographic Data

Table 1: Population in Orange County, California and the U.S. Through 2016

| Area | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Change <br> $2011-$ <br> 2016 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Orange <br> County | $3,028,846$ | $3,057,233$ | $2,087,715$ | $3,114,209$ | $3,151,910$ | $3,183,011$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| California | $37,427,946$ | $37,680,593$ | $38,030,609$ | $38,357,121$ | $38,907,642$ | $39,255,883$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| United <br> States | $312,801,643$ | $315,223,904$ | $317,583,693$ | $319,925,152$ | $322,259,557$ | $324,294,884$ | $3.7 \%$ |

Sources: State of California, Department of Finance, E-4 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State, with 2010 Benchmark. Sacramento, California, May 2016; U. S. Bureau of the Census.

Community Level Population through 2016
Table 2: Population

| City | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | lhange <br> 2011-2016 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Anaheim | 341,000 | 343,974 | 346,882 | 348,369 | 355,497 | 358,136 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Brea | 39,961 | 40,851 | 41,372 | 42,389 | 43,245 | 43,710 | $9.4 \%$ |
| Fullerton | 135,528 | 138,573 | 138,573 | 140,120 | 141,407 | 142,457 | $5.1 \%$ |
| La Habra | 60,407 | 60,880 | 61,300 | 61,705 | 61,764 | 62,064 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Placentia | 50,723 | 51,171 | 51,938 | 52,084 | 51,873 | 52,263 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Yorba Linda | 64,846 | 65,804 | 66,560 | 67,055 | 67,128 | 67,637 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Total | 692,465 | 700,411 | 706,625 | 711,722 | 720,914 | 726,267 | $4.9 \%$ |

Sources: State of California, Department of Finance, E-4 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State, with 2010 Benchmark. Sacramento, California, May 2016; U. S. Bureau of the Census.

Table 3: Population Projections for Orange County, California and the U.S. through 2060

| Area | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 | 2060 | Projected <br> Change to <br> 2050 | Projected <br> Change to <br> 2060 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Orange <br> County | $3,198,279$ | $3,286,100$ | $3,321,037$ | $3,324,920$ | $3,331,595$ | $3.9 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| California | $40,643,643$ | $44,279,354$ | $47,490,186$ | $50,365,074$ | $52,693,583$ | $23.9 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ |
| United <br> States | $334,503,000$ | $359,402,000$ | $380,219,000$ | $398,328,000$ | $416,795,000$ | $19.1 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ |

Community Level Population: Changes to the Year 2040
Table 4: Population Changes in Fullerton College Community through 2040

| City | 2020 | 2025 |  | 2035 | Projected <br> Change to <br> 2035 | Projected <br> Change to <br> 2040 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Anaheim | 358,740 | 367,879 | 381,028 | 389,313 | 410,755 | $8.5 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| Brea | 48,701 | 48,911 | 49,247 | 50,625 | 50,576 | $3.9 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Fullerton | 145,704 | 151,939 | 155,724 | 158,334 | 160,458 | $8.7 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| La Habra | 64,797 | 66,131 | 67,440 | 68,327 | 68,475 | $5.4 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| Placentia | 53,146 | 54,706 | 57,053 | 58,499 | 58,442 | $10.1 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Yorba Linda | 69,324 | 69,867 | 70,217 | 70,391 | 70,469 | $1.5 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Total | 740,412 | 759,433 | 780,709 | 795,489 | 819,175 | $7.4 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |

*Projected change from year 2020
Source: California State University, Fullerton, Center for Demographic Research
Table 5: Proportions of the Population by Ethnicity in Orange County and California: Census 2014

| Area | African <br> American | Asian/Pacific <br> Islander | Hispanic | American <br> Indian/Alaskan <br> Native | White | Other/Decline <br> to State | Two or <br> More Races |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fullerton <br> College | $3.9 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | $53.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | -- |
| Orange <br> County | $1.5 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | -- | 2.4 |
| California | $5.7 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ | -- | 2.7 |

Source: Fullerton College Office of Institutional Research, U.S. Bureau of the Census

Table 6: Fullerton College Community Population by Ethnicity, Census 2014

| City | African <br> American | Asian/Pacific <br> Islander | Hispanic | American <br> Indian/Alaskan <br> Native | White | Other <br> More <br> Maces |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Anaheim | 7,826 | 54,301 | 181,072 | 444 | 92,569 | 482 | 6,279 |
| Brea | 443 | 7,445 | 11,280 | 38 | 19,990 | 95 | 1,152 |
| Fullerton | 3,212 | 33,764 | 47,963 | 231 | 49,264 | 155 | 3,356 |
| La Habra | 601 | 5,216 | 37,043 | 138 | 17,290 | 103 | 950 |
| Placentia | 713 | 8,205 | 19,570 | 42 | 22,028 | 103 | 1,199 |
| Yorba Linda | 683 | 11,220 | 11,250 | 134 | 40,780 | 62 | 2,206 |
| Total | 13,478 | 120,151 | 308,178 | 1,027 | 241,921 | 1,000 | 15,142 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Table 7 Fullerton College Community Population Percentage by Ethnicity: Census 2014

| City | African <br> American | Asian/Pacific <br> Islander | Hispanic | American <br> Indian/Alaskan <br> Native | White | Other | Two or <br> More <br> Races |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Anaheim | $2.3 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $52.8 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Brea | $1.1 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Fullerton | $2.3 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| La Habra | $1.0 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $28.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Placentia | $1.4 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Yorba Linda | $1.0 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Total | $1.9 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Table 8 Projected Population by Ethnicity in Orange County and California through 2060

| State/County | Year | African American | American Indian/Alaskan Native | Asian/Pacific Islander | Hispanic | White | Multi-Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orange County | 2020 | 47,825 | 6,416 | 640,225 | 1,168,613 | 1,292,248 | 87,934 |
|  | 2030 | 49,505 | 6,260 | 657,909 | 1,305,296 | 1,230,232 | 112,354 |
|  | 2040 | 49,101 | 5,917 | 698,378 | 1,423,642 | 1,132,850 | 139,855 |
|  | 2050 | 48,225 | 5,300 | 728,170 | 1,509,122 | 1,020,267 | 170,499 |
|  | 2060 | 46,827 | 4,637 | 726,026 | 1,560,800 | 922,972 | 202,629 |
| California | 2020 | 2,285,418 | 178,460 | 5,653,028 | 16,398,208 | 14,936,172 | 1,168,060 |
|  | 2030 | 2,356,684 | 185,093 | 6,320,499 | 18,973,905 | 14,798,858 | 1,450,561 |
|  | 2040 | 2,357,738 | 183,831 | 7,096,451 | 21,475,903 | 14,342,695 | 1,776,622 |
|  | 2050 | 2,305,377 | 178,345 | 7,797,044 | 23,684,647 | 13,690,921 | 2,123,028 |
|  | 2060 | 2,225,050 | 171,759 | 8,264,210 | 25,486,948 | 13,051,099 | 2,464,795 |

Source: State of California, Department of Finance, Population Projections for California and Its Counties 2000-2060,
Sacramento, California, July 2013.

Table 9 Projected Population Percent by Ethnicity in Orange County and California through 2060

| State/County | Year | $\begin{array}{l}\text { African } \\ \text { American }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { American } \\ \text { Indian/Alaskan } \\ \text { Native }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Asian/Pacific } \\ \text { Islander }\end{array}$ | Hispanic | White |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | \(\left.\begin{array}{l}Multi- <br>

Race\end{array}\right]\)

Source: State of California, Department of Finance, Population Projections for California and Its Counties 2000-2060, Sacramento, California, July 2013.

## Part II: Educational Information

School Age Population: Changes Through 2014-2015
Table 10: Public School Enrollment in Orange County and California, 2011-2012 to 2015-2016

|  | $2011-2012$ | $2012-2013$ | $2013-2014$ | $2014-2015$ | $2015-2016$ | Change <br> $2011-2016$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Orange County | 502,205 | 201,801 | 500,487 | 497,116 | 493,030 | $-1.8 \%$ |
| California | $6,220,993$ | $6,226,989$ | $6,236,672$ | $6,235,520$ | $6,235,520$ | $0.2 \%$ |

Source: State of California, Department of Education, Data Quest (http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/)

Table 11: Public School Enrollment Projections for Orange County and California to 2024-2025

|  | $2016-2017$ | $2017-2018$ | $2018-2019$ | $2019-2020$ | $2024-2025$ | Change <br> $2016-2025$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Orange County | 486,891 | 481,490 | 475,477 | 471,776 | 450,816 | $-7.4 \%$ |
| California | $6,209,887$ | $6,205,562$ | $6,188,872$ | $6,185,160$ | $6,162,193$ | $-0.8 \%$ |

(State of California, Department of Finance, California Public K-12 Graded Enrollment and High School Graduate Projections by County, 2009 Series. Sacramento, California, October 2009.)

Table 12: Public School Enrollment in Fullerton College Feeder High School Districts, 2011-2015-2016

| School District | $2011-2012$ | $2012-2013$ | $2013-2014$ | $2014-2015$ | $2015-2016$ | Change <br> 2011-2016 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Anaheim | 32,704 | 32,085 | 31,889 | 31,659 | 31,276 | $-4.4 \%$ |
| Brea-Olinda | 2,074 | 2,041 | 2,001 | 1,974 | 1,942 | $-6.4 \%$ |
| Fullerton | 14,782 | 14,608 | 14,501 | 14,396 | 14,235 | $-3.7 \%$ |
| Placentia <br> Yorba Linda | 9,020 | 8,320 | 8,429 | 8,458 | 8,467 | $-6.1 \%$ |

Source: State of California, Department of Education, Data Quest (http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/)

Table 13a: Public School Enrollment in Fullerton College Feeder High School Districts, By Race/Ethnicity year 2015-2016

| School District | African <br> American | Asian/ <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Hispanic | American <br> Indian/ <br> Alaskan <br> Native | White | Not <br> Reported | Two or <br> More <br> Races |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Anaheim Union <br> High | 742 | 5,481 | 20,714 | 142 | 3,339 | 1 | 857 |
| Brea-Olinda | 42 | 427 | 672 | 4 | 754 | 1 | 42 |
| Fullerton <br> Union High | 337 | 3,183 | 7,787 | 28 | 2,603 | 15 | 282 |
| Placentia- <br> Yorba Linda | 137 | 1,285 | 3,146 | 14 | 3,736 | 37 | 112 |

Source: State of California, Department of Education, Data Quest (http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/)

Table 13b: Public School Enrollment in Fullerton College Top 10 Feeder High Schools, By Race/Ethnicity year 2015-2016

| School District | African <br> American | Asian/ <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Hispanic | American <br> Indian/ <br> Alaskan <br> Native | White | Not <br> Reported | Two or <br> More <br> Races |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Anaheim | $0.5 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $94.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Brea-Olinda | $2.0 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| El Dorado | $1.4 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Fullerton | $2.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Katella | $1.0 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| La Habra | $1.9 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $69.9 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Sonora | $1.1 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Sunny Hills | $2.1 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Troy | $1.1 \%$ | $49.3 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Valencia | $1.9 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |

Source: State of California, Department of Education, Data Quest (http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/)

Table 14: Fullerton College Top 10 Feeder High Schools: Demographics Indicators, 2015-2016

| School | Total Students | Percent Free or <br> Reduced Lunch | Percent English <br> Language Leaners | Percent <br> Disadvantaged | Percent <br> UC/CSU <br> Eligible Grads |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Anaheim | 3,164 | $83.4 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ |
| Brea-Olinda | 1,872 | $22.0 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $57.6 \%$ |
| El Dorado | 1,910 | $20.7 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ |
| Fullerton | 2,110 | $57.4 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $53.1 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ |
| Katella | 2,619 | $80.5 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $88.6 \%$ | $31.2 \%$ |
| La Habra | 2,212 | $47.4 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $49.5 \%$ | $40.4 \%$ |
| Sonora | 1,882 | $46.5 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ |
| Sunny Hills | 2,307 | $27.4 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ |
| Troy | 2,755 | $21.3 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ |
| Valencia | 2,797 | $55.0 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $57.6 \%$ | $49.2 \%$ |

Source: State of California, Department of Education, Data Quest (http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/)

Part III. Economic Trends

Importance of Economic Changes
The Employment Base
Table 15: Civilian Labor Force, Employment, and Unemployment for Orange County

| Measures | June 2012 | June 2013 | June 2014 | June 2015 | June 2016 | Change <br> 2012-2016 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Civilian Labor Force | $1,564,100$ | $1,464,800$ | $1,569,400$ | $1,599,800$ | $1,612,600$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| Employed | $1,435,000$ | $1,464,800$ | $1,483,700$ | $1,530,800$ | $1,541,500$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| Unemployed | 129,100 | 106,100 | 85,700 | 69,000 | 71,100 | $-45.0 \%$ |
| Unemployment <br> Percent | $8.3 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $-3.9 \%$ |

Source: California Employment Development Department

Table 16: Civilian Labor Force, Employment, and Unemployment for Fullerton College Area, July 2016

| Measures | Anaheim | Brea | Fullerton | La Habra | Placentia | Yorba Linda |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Civilian Labor Force | 174,300 | 22,500 | 72,100 | 31,700 | 26,900 | 35,600 |
| Employed | 164,100 | 21,500 | 68,400 | 30,000 | 25,500 | 34,100 |
| Unemployed | 10,100 | 1,000 | 3,800 | 1,700 | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| Unemployment <br> Percent | $5.8 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |

Source: California Employment Development Department
Table 17: Employment by Industry in Orange County: 2015 and 2016

| Industry | June 2015 | June 2016 | Change | Percent Change |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Good Producing | 252,400 | 259,300 | 6,900 | $2.7 \%$ |
|  <br> Utilities | 261,400 | 262,700 | 1,300 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Information | 23,800 | 26,100 | 2,300 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Financial Activities | 116,300 | 118,000 | 1,700 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Professional and <br> Business Services | 281,800 | 295,200 | 13,400 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Education and Health <br> Services | 201,000 | 205,000 | 4,000 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Leisure and Hospitality | 199,800 | 213,700 | 13,900 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Other Services | 52,800 | 49,100 | $-3,700$ | $-7.0 \%$ |
| Government | 158,700 | 161,500 | 2,800 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Total | $1,548,000$ | $1,590,600$ | 42,600 | $2.7 \%$ |

Source: California Employment Development Department

Table 18: Projected Growth in Top 10 Largest Growing Orange County Occupation Requiring an Associate Degree or Post-Secondary Vocational Training, 2012-2022

| Occupation | 2012 | 2022 | Change | Percent Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Registered Nurses | 18,610 | 21,300 | 2,690 | $14.5 \%$ |
| Nursing Assistant | 8,560 | 10,610 | 2,050 | $23.9 \%$ |
| Medical Assistant | 7,560 | 9,010 | 1,450 | $19.2 \%$ |
| Licensed Practical and Licensed <br> Vocational Nurses | 6,080 | 7,430 | 1,350 | $22.2 \%$ |
| Dental Assistants | 4,990 | 5,750 | 760 | $15.2 \%$ |
| Hairdressers, Hairstylists, and <br> Cosmetologists | 3,960 | 5,130 | 1,170 | $29.5 \%$ |
| Preschool Teachers, Except Special <br> Education | 4,370 | 2,020 | 650 | $14.9 \%$ |
| Paralegals and Legal Assistants | 3,690 | 4,720 | 1,030 | $27.9 \%$ |
| Telecommunications Equipment <br> Installers and Repairers, Except line <br> Installers | 1,990 | 2,872 | $44.2 \%$ |  |
| Web Developers |  | 2,090 | 2,840 | 750 |

Source: California Employment Development Department

Table 19: Projected Growth in Top 10 Largest Growing Orange County Occupation Requiring a Four-Year Degree, 2012-2022

| Occupation | 2012 | 2022 | Change | Percent Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| General and Operations Manger | 27,120 | 32,470 | 5,350 | $19.7 \%$ |
| Accountants and Auditors | 16,720 | 20,050 | 3,330 | $19.9 \%$ |
| Management Analysts | 10,070 | 13,120 | 3,050 | $30.3 \%$ |
| Market Research Analyst and <br> Marketing Specialist | 8,100 | 11,520 | 3,420 | $42.2 \%$ |
| Elementary School Teachers, Except <br> Special Education | 9,240 | 10,960 | 1,720 | $18.6 \%$ |
| Software Developers, Applications | 8,900 | 10,320 | 1,420 | $16.0 \%$ |
| Financial Managers | 7,980 | 9,340 | 1,360 | $17.0 \%$ |
| Sales Representatives, Wholesales and <br> Manufacturing, Technical and <br> Scientific Products | 7,500 | 8,550 | 1,050 | $14.0 \%$ |
| Sales Manager | 7,080 | 8,480 |  | 1,400 |

Source: California Employment Development Department

## Part IV. Political and Social Trends Impacting Fullerton College

## Importance of Monitoring Political Trends

National, state, and local level priorities in both the policy and fiscal arenas greatly influence direction setting for North Orange County Community College District and Fullerton College. Several key issues are likely to impact local policy. These include issues related to: accountability; accreditation; budget; general enrollment growth, as it relates to facilities planning; local population growth and feeder school enrollments; and distance learning.

## Accountability

Accountability remains a top priority, particularly at the system office and legislature. With the institution of the statewide Student Success Scorecard, with annual review by local boards of trustees, we continue to see accountability efforts renewed and revitalized. And, while the accreditation standards from the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges of the Western Association of Schools and Colleges have focused on the identification and measurement of student learning outcomes, the standards continue to include evidence of a focus on institutional effectiveness.

## Accreditation

Accountability challenges related to performance continue to require comprehensive monitoring of student outcomes data related to special initiatives developed to improve student performance. And the WASC AACJC accreditation standards require colleges to evaluate student outcomes beyond the institutional effectiveness emphasis of the previous standards. The new standards place strong emphasis on measuring true learning outcomes and disaggregating those outcomes by subpopulations to analyze disparate outcomes. In addition, the standards have re- emphasized the need for integration of the college's many planning activities, with an emphasis on the integration of program review, planning and budgeting. ACCJC has provided several publications for evaluation of colleges' development of program review, planning and identification and assessment of student learning outcomes, with high expectations for colleges to attain the 'continuous quality improvement' stage in those areas. Fullerton College has plans to begin its self-study for the re-affirmation of accreditation, with the accreditation team visit scheduled for fall 2017. These challenges will require a coordinated research and evaluation effort throughout the college and increased emphasis on assessment of student learning outcomes, in both the general education core and in specific disciplines, and incorporation of results in planning for increased student success.

## Budget

Shortfalls in the California budget in the recent past had severe consequences for Fullerton College. As the state economy and revenues have rebounded, so too has Fullerton College. Recent increases in FTES allocations and growth funding, coupled with state Student Equity and Student Success and Support Program funds, have benefited the college. Even under these favorable conditions, Fullerton College carefully plans for other potential budget challenges such as match requirements for the Student Success and Support Program, the new State Growth Regulation, and the new growth funding allocation model. Increases in support services staff and full-time faculty are planned to meet the expanding needs of the college community. Planning of enrollment growth must be carefully monitored, and given forethought so the college can proceed with a strong vision and expand in areas beneficial to the college and community.

## Enrollment Growth and Facilities Planning

Projections indicate that Fullerton College will face a growing student population over the next decade. Accommodating two to three percent enrollment growth annually over the next several years will provide a major facilities planning challenge for the college. In addition, modernization of infrastructure, construction of new facilities, planned maintenance, technology growth, and adequate parking will require significant planning and resources through the now approved Measure J Bond.

## Distance Learning

Distance education has become a major component of educational offerings at Fullerton College. With the possible expansion of on-line learning opportunities for students, issues of faculty training and development, intellectual property rights, adequacy of technical infrastructure andevaluation of learning are becoming major pieces of the accountability concerns for this relatively new mode of student learning. Preparation of students for and evaluation of learning in distance education programs is becoming an important priority for all institutions of higher education.

## Importance of These Political Trends

These five political trends are likely to have an important influence on setting the policy agenda for the district for the upcoming year and beyond. All have important implications for budget planning, program planning, research, evaluation and communication across the college and with the large community of which it is an integral part.
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